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ABSTRACT

2.5D building modelling is of great interest for visualization, simulation and monitoring purposes in different cases like city and military mission planning or rapid disaster assessment. Manual and automatic extraction of building information from SAR interferometry is complicated by layover, foreshortening, shadowing and multi-bounce scattering, especially in dense urban areas with tall buildings. This can be overcome by using optical imagery to provide the shapes of the building footprints whereas SAR data is used for the refinement of the detected footprints and for providing building height information. Two TerraSAR-X images were used in this research, with High Resolution Spotlight Mode (azimuth resolution 1.1m) together with the QuickBird image. The study area is located in Delft, the Netherlands. First, a digital Surface Model (DSM) was estimated from SAR interferometry processing. Different levels of external height information from Shuttle Topography Mission Digital Elevation Model (SRTM), LIDAR Digital Terrain Model (LIDAR DTM) and LIDAR Digital Surface Model (LIDAR DSM) were used for phase flattening and registration to investigate how they influence the overall accuracy of SAR interferometry processing. Building footprints were extracted with an Object Oriented Analysis (OOA) method from the QuickBird image, with input of the InSAR DSM as external knowledge. Due to spectral complexity of urban areas, it is impossible to extract correctly buildings from the QuickBird image only based on spectral information. OOA including the building height information from InSAR DSM improved the extraction of building footprints from the QuickBird image. Then, the 2.5D building model was derived by combining the building footprints and the building height from InSAR DSM. Finally, the vertical accuracy of the model was assessed with a reference from the LIDAR DSM and the field data from a clinometer.

The $2\pi$ height ambiguity was determined as 45.94 m from the baseline estimation (131.35 m) of the InSAR pair. If the baseline is larger, the height ambiguity is smaller; so it yields more fringes in the interferogram and is more sensitive to topography or structures such as buildings. The SAR interferometry processing with the LIDAR DSM reduced the phase unwrapping error and helped the registration of the SAR pair, so it was more accurate than the processing with the other external height information. Assessment of vertical accuracy for the 4 buildings in the two study sites showed +1.53m, -0.57m, -0.78m and -1.49m mean height difference, and 3.83m, 3.00m, 3.44m and 4.26m RMSE comparing the building height from the InSAR result to the building height from the LIDAR nDSM. From the comparison with the field data, the result showed -1.15m mean height difference and 2.91m RMSE.

In conclusion, building height from SAR interferometry can improve building footprint extraction from optical images and can be combined with the extracted footprints to generate a 2.5D building model.
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1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Motivation and problem statements

2.5D reconstruction in built-up areas is of great interest for visualization, simulation and monitoring purposes in different cases [1]. A typical application is the visualization of the influence of a planned building to the surrounding townscape for city and regional planning. Additionally, there is a growing demand for 2.5D model in civil and military mission planning. Furthermore, 2.5D information could be used for monitoring and emergency response to natural disaster (e.g. earthquakes, tsunamis), man-made conflict events (e.g. large scale destruction) and rapid situation assessment.

Modern spaceborne SAR sensors like TerraSAR-X, SAR-Lupe or Cosmo-SkyMed provide slant range resolution of one meter or even smaller in special spotlight modes. In data of such kind, man-made structures in urban areas can be visible in detail independently from daylight or weather influence. Also, the opportunity of recording of huge areas in a short time and from a long distance can be another advantage of SAR. In addition, even though current spaceborne optical satellites like QuickBird provide sub-meter resolutions, the optical systems have the limitation due to the dependency on daylight and cloud free weather conditions during the acquisition phase [2]. So, when the emergency response with urban 2.5D information from the satellite images is required for monitoring rapid disaster situations, the passive optical sensors cannot provide clear images during night or cloud weather situation. But, nowadays, active sensors such as LIDAR and SAR have played an important role for 2.5D modelling, solving the hindrances from passive sensors. Despite of the strengths of LIDAR like elevation accuracy (decimeters in LIDAR, centimeters in InSAR) and viewing aspect (nadir or side-looking in LIDAR, side-looking in SAR), laser is attenuated by rain or fog. Additionally, it cannot record large areas in a short time and from a large distance in comparison with SAR sensors because LIDAR is not available as a spaceborne sensor [1]. Based on the mentioned advantages of SAR, 2.5D reconstruction of urban areas from SAR data has been done in recent years [3].

However, phenomena, due to the side-looking scene illumination of the SAR sensor, complicate interpretability [4]. Layover, foreshortening, shadowing and multi-bounce scattering of the RADAR signal hamper manual and automatic analysis especially in dense urban areas with tall buildings. For example, the layover area is the building signal situated the closest to the sensor because it has the smallest distance to the sensor. It usually appears bright due to superposition of backscatter from ground, façade and roof. Such drawbacks may partly be overcome using additional information from optical imagery [5], and SAR acquisition from multiple aspects [6]. Among two approaches for solving the drawbacks, using optical data is the most straightforward since the second approach requires many aspects of SAR imagery. In addition, optical data can help SAR data processing with easier interpretation of image e.g. building boundaries delimitation, even if it is acquired at different dates due to dependency on daylight and cloud-free conditions [7].

Recently, there have been several studies aimed at extraction of building information by merging SAR and optical imagery. VHR optical data mainly provides the shapes of the building footprints whereas VHR SAR data is used for the improvement of the detected building footprints by fusion with optical data as well as height information by SAR Interferometry [3, 7-8]. Sportouche et al. [8] proposed a sequence of methods providing, in a semi-automatic way, the extraction and the reconstruction of buildings in large urban areas by the joint use of only one high resolution SAR image and a high resolution optical image for 2.5D reconstruction. From monoscopic optical data, neighbouring pixels of same spectral value were
extracted to a building footprint based on the morphological tool, built by using opening and closing operators. But, if different neighbouring objects (e.g. road and building) are constructed with the same material or an object (e.g. building) is constructed with different materials, it is impossible to extract correctly objects from the optical data due to spectral complexity, especially in dense urban areas [9]. Also, Tupin [7] developed a methodology based on a Markovian framework to merge 2.5D SAR information like interferometric or radargrammetric data and optical image of same area. The main idea of the approach was to feed an over-segmentation of the optical image with 2.5D SAR features. Then the height of each region was computed using the SAR information and contextual knowledge expressed in a Markovian framework. But, this methodology just used low level tools to help the interpretation process. High level methods should be developed working at the object level, especially in urban areas.

To overcome the limitations in two approaches, object oriented analysis (OOA) technique can be a potential solution for urban object extraction. During the extraction of the objects from VHR optical image using OOA technique, the approach is based not only on individual pixels and their spectral characteristics, but also on the spatial, contextual, textural information and semantic knowledge about the objects under observation [10]. In addition, the height information from VHR SAR data can be used as the external knowledge in the rule set of OOA method to classify the building object [11]. Therefore, VHR SAR and optical data will complement each other to reconstruct 2.5D building model. But, 2.5D reconstruction from VHR SAR and optical data in OOA is not documented yet. This research is motivated toward developing a method for 2.5D building reconstruction from VHR SAR and optical data by using OOA method.

1.2. Research identification

This research is to develop an approach to integrate the estimated building height from SAR interferometry processing and the extracted building footprint from VHR QuickBird image using OOA method in order to reconstruct 2.5D building model.

In this research, the following frequently used terms are defined for consistency. DEM (Digital Elevation Model) refers to the digital representation of the topography of earth surface [12]. DEM is a general term used to represent any type of elevation data of the earth surface. It can be used to present the bare surface of the earth without any natural or artificial object on the earth (DTM: Digital Terrain Model) or actual surface of the earth with all types of object like building, tree on the earth (DSM: Digital Surface Model), or absolute elevation of the objects from ground level (nDSM: normalized Digital Surface Model). In this research, InSAR DSM is generated from VHR SAR data since VHR SAR interferometry technique can estimate the height of actual surface of the earth with the objects which are larger than the image resolution. And the absolute height information of the objects is extracted from nDSM which is derived by subtracting a DTM from InSAR DSM [13].

1.2.1. Research objectives

The main objective of this research is to develop a method for reconstruction of 2.5D building model from VHR SAR and VHR optical image using OOA technique. This can be sub-divided into the following specific objectives to achieve the main objective.

i. To get accurate building height information from SAR interferometry

ii. To improve the method of the building footprint detection from VHR optical image by OOA technique, using the height information of the objects from SAR interferometry

iii. To combine the detected building footprint with the estimated building height from SAR interferometry for 2.5D building model
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1.2.2. Research questions

i. How can the building height information be estimated from SAR interferometry?

ii. What is the accuracy of the estimated heights?

iii. Which information can be used to extract the building footprint using OOA technique:
   a) What inputs and parameters can be used for image segmentation?
   b) What feature properties can be analysed for the extraction of the building footprint?
   c) How can the estimated objects heights support the extraction of the building footprint?

iv. How can a 2.5D building model be reconstructed based on the detected building footprint with the estimated building height?

1.2.3. Innovation aimed at

As mentioned before, this research is to develop a new approach for 2.5D building reconstruction from VHR SAR and optical data using OOA technique. This research investigates how the estimated heights from SAR interferometry can help to extract building footprints in OOA technique and how 2.5D building models can be generated by combining the extracted building footprint with the estimated heights.

1.3. Thesis structure

This thesis is presented in six main chapters. Chapter 1 is a general introduction to the research and states the main objective, motivation and the proposed research questions. Chapter 2 gives some review on the related theories used in the research. Chapter 3 explains not only the methods used in the research but also study area, its characteristics, the reasoning behind the choice of the study sites and further information of the data used in the research and the data pre-processing. Chapter 4 deals with the results by the proposed methods and analysis of the results. In Chapter 5, the results are discussed and concluded against the research objectives and questions. The limitations of the research are also mentioned in this chapter. Chapter 6 describes the conclusion of the research and the recommendation for the future works.

![Figure 1-1: Thesis outline flow](image)
2. RELATED THEORIES

For 2.5D building modelling, SAR interferometry and OOA technique are studied in the research. This chapter deals with brief review of the related theories used in the research. First section reviews height estimation by SAR interferometry with TerraSAR-X data, and second section shows brief review of building footprint extraction by OOA method.

2.1. Review on height estimation by SAR interferometry with TerraSAR-X data in urban area

TerraSAR-X is a German radar satellite that was launched in mid 2007. It carries a high frequency X-band SAR sensor with different modes and polarisation. It provides high resolution SAR images for detailed analysis as well as wide swath data whenever a larger coverage is needed [14]. Previous spaceborne SAR sensors usually provided 25 m resolution which is suitable to differentiate urban areas from other land use and extract the city bodies. But, the level of details visible in the SAR images was increased by the high resolution TerraSAR-X data. The detection and mapping of buildings, man-made structures and infrastructure like roads and bridges came to be possible. Its short wavelength (3.1cm), its short revisit cycle (11 days), and, in particular, its 1.1m azimuth resolution in high resolution spotlight mode distinguish it significantly from previous SAR systems. These parameters promise high-resolution mapping and monitoring capabilities in urban areas, particularly when used in repeat-pass interferometric mode [15].

2.1.1. General principle of SAR sensor

The basic principle of SAR sensor is to illuminate large areas on the ground with the radar signal and to sample the backscatter. From the different time-of-flight of the incoming signal the range between the sensor and the scene objects is obtained. The analysis of single SAR images is usually restricted to the signal amplitude.

For SAR interferometry processing, two SAR images are required, which were taken from different positions [16]. Due to the geometric displacement of sensors, the distances from the sensors to the scene differ, which results in a phase difference in the interferogram.

2.1.2. Height estimation from SAR interferometry

The phase information of two radar datasets representing the same region is used to extract the topographic information of that region. In Figure 2-1, the corresponding elements of two datasets are acquired at two slightly different antenna positions (A1 and A2). The spatial baseline is formed by the connection between these positions. This baseline has a length (B) between these positions and an orientation (α) relative to the horizontal direction. These positions belonging to a target can be extracted from the platform orbits or flight passes. The difference in antenna position results a difference in the range (ρ and ρ′) from the target to those positions. This difference in range (δρ) is translated into a phase difference (δφ). This phase difference is computed from the phase differences in the corresponding elements in the datasets. The height of the target is a function of the phase difference (δφ), the baseline (B), some additional orbit parameters and a reference system.
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The illustration in the figure above shows a simplified representation of the method for height calculation using the phase information.

The difference in range is given by:

$$\delta \rho = \rho - \rho' = B \cos(\alpha + \pi/2 - \theta) = B \sin(\theta - \alpha)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2-1)

Where $\theta$ is the look angle.

And thus:

$$\theta = \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{\delta \rho}{B} \right) + \alpha$$  \hspace{1cm} (2-2)

The height $z$ at point $(x, y)$ is expressed as:

$$z(x, y) = h - \rho \cos(\theta)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2-3)

The phase difference is:

$$\varphi = \frac{4\pi \times \delta \rho}{\lambda}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2-4)

Where $z$ is the height above the chosen geoid in point $(x, y)$, $h$ is the satellite height above the geoid, $\lambda$ is the wavelength of the microwave.

Combining Equation 2-3 and 2-4 gives:

$$z(x, y) = h - \rho \cos \left( \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{\lambda \varphi}{4\pi B} \right) + \alpha \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2-5)

2.1.3. Appearance of buildings in SAR images

For flat terrain, Figure 2-2 illustrates typical effects in SAR images in the vicinity of buildings. The so-called layover phenomenon occurs at locations facing toward the sensor with steep elevation gradient, like vertical building walls (Figure a). If object areas located at different positions have the same distance to the sensor, like roofs (I), walls (II), and the ground in front of buildings (III), the backscatter is integrated to the same range cell. Layover areas appear bright in the SAR image (Figure c).

Perpendicular alignment of building faces towards the sensor leads to strong signal responses by double-bounce scattering at the dihedral corner reflector between the ground and the building wall (Figure b). This results in a line of bright scattering in azimuth direction at the building footprint (Figure c). At the
opposite building side the ground is partly occluded from the building shadow. This region appears dark in the SAR image, because no signal returns into the related range bins.

![SAR sensor](image)

(a) Layover

![SAR sensor](image)

(b) Corner reflector

(c) SAR range line

Figure 2-2: Phenomena caused by side-looking illumination (source: [18])

Because of these geometrical constraints, the mapping in dense urban areas by high resolution TerraSAR-X data is complicated. Typical SAR imaging phenomena such as layover, shadowing and the strong dependence of backscatter on the geometric features and topology of the illuminated objects need to be carefully considered. Especially in dense urban areas, these effects may occur very commonly, seriously affecting the appearance and ability to differentiate buildings. Layover and double-bounce scattering resulted in displacement of the building footprint and features in the SAR image.

### 2.2. Review on building footprint extraction by OOA

#### 2.2.1. Background of OOA

Building footprint extraction in urban areas has been studied and many methods have been developed for it by remote sensing technology [19]. Building footprint can be extracted from several data sources using diverse techniques. The main requirements for the extraction of required information from the images in a up-to-date image analysis scheme are proposed in [20] to know the sensor characteristics, to recognize fitting analysis scales and their combination, to find typical context and hierarchical dependencies, and to
reflect the inherent uncertainties of the whole information extraction process, starting from the sensor, to fuzzy concepts for the requested information.

Extraction of information from satellite images can be done from “the smallest processing unit of the image” with its features applied for processing such as classification [21]. The smallest processing unit can be determined as a pixel or an object (group of similar pixels) in the image. Its spectral characteristics, DN value and textures, etc. for a pixel or spectral, spatial characteristics, texture, context and semantic knowledge for an object can be used as its feature properties for further processing like segmentation or classification.

In the past, the single pixel characteristics by the measurement of reflectance value from surface of the Earth have been mainly used for the most of the methods in information extraction from images [22]. These traditional pixel based methods are not fully effective to extract information from very high resolution images, because of spectral complexity (similar spectral reflectance from more than one class and different spectral reflectance from one class) without paying attention to spatial relationship among the neighbour, inapplicability of the semantic knowledge about the object and class [22].

The OOA method can solve the above mentioned limitations of pixel based analysis in information extraction as explained in the following section [23].

2.2.2. OOA for building footprint extraction

The idea of OOA in this research is to segment images into spectrally homogenous segments or objects. The shape and size of such segments can be constrained by a number of parameters which control the maximum acceptable heterogeneity within a segment [20]. Additional thematic data layers like DSM can be used to create meaningful segments in the image [24]. Various characteristics of the segments such as the spatial, spectral, contextual or textural information can be used to develop rule sets which are applied to classify the segments. The most important characteristic of OOA is to integrate different data sources like optical data and DSM data, different processing algorithms and semantic knowledge about features, which is not possible with most of the other image analysis techniques.

OOA is not only based on the spectral properties of the objects in the image but also based on the spatial and semantic knowledge about the objects and its context, texture, association with spatial arrangement and neighbourhood. The main benefit of the OOA in building footprint extraction is to take a homogenous object element or image segments as a fundamental building block for classification, to consider spatial relationship between the neighbouring objects, and to apply semantic and prior knowledge of the objects for further processing [20]. The main components of OOA and the steps are discussed in Section 3.4.
3. METHOD

In this chapter, firstly the overview of the methodology adopted is explained briefly with the overall workflow, followed by the study area with the data description (Section 3.1), and the data pre-processing (Section 3.2). DSM is generated from the SAR images by SAR interferometry processing (Section 3.3), and then building footprint is extracted from the optical image by OOA with help of the generated DSM (Section 3.4). Finally, 2.5D building model is generated by combining the building height information from DSM and the extracted building footprint (Section 3.5), then, the vertical accuracy of the 2.5D building model is assessed with reference building height data (Section 3.6). This research was conducted in the following methodology to achieve the research objectives (Figure 3-1).

In order to generate 2.5D building model, building footprint and building height information are needed. For that, firstly building height information is estimated by SAR interferometry processing. TerraSAR-X image pair is used for generation of InSAR DSM. And external DEMs (SRTM, LiDAR DTM and LiDAR DSM) are used for co-registration and phase flattening step during SAR interferometry processing. In order to investigate how external DEM influences the overall accuracy of SAR interferometry processing, the DEMs with different levels of details in their topographic information and their different vertical accuracies, are used.

Figure 3-1: The workflow of the methodology
Then, building footprint is extracted by OOA method. QuickBird image is used for detection of building footprint with help of the generated DSM as external knowledge. By combining the extracted building footprint and the estimated building height information, 2.5D building is modelled and the vertical accuracy of 2.5D building is assessed with reference building model, generated by using the LIDAR DSM and the field visit with a clinometer.

3.1. Study area and data

3.1.1. Study area

The study area for this research is Delft, a city and municipality in the province of South Holland, the Netherlands. Delft is located at 52°0′0″ N and 4°21′30″ E and it is a crowded city, more or less having typical characteristics like heterogeneous built up areas with many houses, buildings and roads, parking areas and some areas with vegetation, such as trees.
The specific study sites of the research were chosen carefully by considering the characteristics of radar sensor. As mentioned in Section 2.1.3, because of the continuous alteration of layover and shadow areas which are due to the buildings, the use of SAR data for the building height estimation in dense urban areas may be not the most suitable approach. Therefore, in order to have less influence from layover and shadow areas, the area with the largest buildings which are not surrounded by neighboring buildings were used for the SAR interferometry as shown in Figure 3-2, only building A, B, C and D are reconstructed as 2.5D building model in the research.

3.1.2. SAR data (TerraSAR-X)

The TerraSAR-X instrument (TSX-1) is a side-looking X-band synthetic aperture radar (SAR) based on active phased array antenna technology. The High Resolution Spotlight Mode (HS) is designed for an azimuth resolution of 1.1m resulting in an azimuth scene size of 5km. The characteristic values of the TerraSAR-X HS mode are described in Table 3-1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scene extension</td>
<td>5 km (azimuth) × 10 km (ground range)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full performance incidence angle range</td>
<td>20° ~ 55°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data access incidence angle range</td>
<td>15° ~ 60°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of elevation beams</td>
<td>91 (full performance), 122 (data access)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of azimuth beams</td>
<td>up to 125 out of 229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azimuth steering angle</td>
<td>up to ± 0.75°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azimuth resolution</td>
<td>1.1 m (single polarization), 2.2 m (dual polarization)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground range resolution</td>
<td>1.48 m ~ 3.49 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polarizations</td>
<td>HH or VV (single), HH / VV (dual)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Two SAR images captured by the TerraSAR-X were used in this research. The images were captured in April 27, 2008 and May 8, 2008 with High Resolution Spotlight Mode. The characteristics of the SAR data used are described in Table 3-2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SAR data</th>
<th>SLC_0427</th>
<th>SLC_0508</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Path Direction</td>
<td>Descending</td>
<td>Right</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Look Direction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polarizations</td>
<td>VV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projection</td>
<td>Slant range</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azimuth resolution</td>
<td>1.1 m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground range resolution</td>
<td>1.48 m ~ 3.49 m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product type / Data format</td>
<td>Single Look Slant Range Complex (SSC) / COSAR format (Complex SAR: Real and Imaginary parts)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The SAR images cover the study area and the each image indicates almost the same area since the both relative orbit numbers are the same while the location of the sensors was slightly different.

![Figure 3-3: Layout of the SAR and the QuickBird imagery, from Google Earth](image)

### 3.1.3. Optical data (QuickBird)

QuickBird images are acquired at Standard imagery product, based on 0.61 m resolution for panchromatic band and 2.44 m resolution for multi-spectral band. Some of facts and technical specification of QuickBird are mentioned in Table 3-3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QuickBird Characteristics</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>Panchromatic: 61 cm (nadir)/ Multi-spectral: 2.44 m (nadir)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Image Bands</td>
<td>Pan: 450 - 900 nm&lt;br&gt;Blue: 450 - 520 nm / Green: 520 - 600 nm&lt;br&gt;Red: 630 - 690 nm / Near IR: 760 - 900 nm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.1.4. External DEMs

During SAR interferometry processing, external DEMs are applied for registration of the SAR pair and InSAR flattening process to eliminate the phase components of the flat earth and the available topography. The LiDAR DSM, the generated LiDAR DTM from the LiDAR DSM and the SRTM data were used respectively, because the outputs with the external DEMs are compared to investigate which external DEM will be more effective in terms of accuracy of InSAR DSM.

1) LiDAR AHN-1 data (DSM)

AHN (Actueel Hoogtebestand Nederland) digital elevation model from an airborne Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR) system, was used for the InSAR flattening to get rid of the phase component of the flat earth that is a phase term which depends only on the viewing angle $\theta$ and the known topography. The AHN-1 was made in the period 1996-2003. AHN-1 was initially chosen for a point density of 1 point per 16 m$^2$. During the AHN-1 acquisition, the point density was increased, so there are some areas where available approximately 1 point per square meter was obtained [27]. Some technical specifications of the AHN-1 are described in Table 3-4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AHN-1 characteristics</th>
<th>5 m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grid</td>
<td>5 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projection information</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projection: Double Stereographic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spheroid: Bessel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Datum: Amersfoort (ITC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systematic error</td>
<td>5 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stochastic error</td>
<td>15 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At least 68.2% of points have an vertical accuracy of:</td>
<td>$5 + 1 \times 15 = 20$ cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At least 95.4% of points have an vertical accuracy of:</td>
<td>$5 + 2 \times 15 = 35$ cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At least 99.7% of points have an vertical accuracy of:</td>
<td>$5 + 3 \times 15 = 50$ cm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3-4: AHN-1 data (Delft area)
2) **SRTM-3 version 4**

The SRTM 90m DEM has a resolution of approximate 90m (3 arc second), and are provided in mosaicked 5° (in Latitude) × 5° (in Longitude) tiles for easy download and use from the SARscape work directory. The vertical error of the DEM is reported to be less than 16m [28]. The area of interest, which has to be covered by the extracted Digital Elevation Model, can be specified by entering either the area cartographic co-ordinates or a reference image or a list of images. Since pixel size and geo-coordinate system of reference DEM should be considered by the SAR data (the grid size of SAR data after multi-looking should be same as the grid size of reference DEM), the SRTM was downloaded after resampling (4\textsuperscript{th} cubic convolution) pre-process to make 2.8 m × 2.8 m grid size on the UTM 31N projection, WGS-84 datum.

![Image of resampled SRTM data with the statistics](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basic Statistics</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Stdev</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Band (m)</td>
<td>31.36</td>
<td>73.55</td>
<td>41.52</td>
<td>3.259</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 3-5**: The resampled SRTM (2.8 m) data with the statistics

### 3.2. Data pre-processing

Before data processing, SAR, QUICKBIRD and LIDAR data were pre-processed to be suitable for further processing.

#### 3.2.1. SAR data pre-processing

The TerraSAR-X products must be imported into the SARscape Data Format for further processing. After the import to the standard format of the TerraSAR-X data, the Single Look Complex (SLC) data was generated.

Because of the continuous alteration of layover and shadow areas which are due to the buildings, the use of SAR data for the building height estimation in dense urban areas is not the most suitable approach. To reduce influence from layover and shadow areas, the area with the largest buildings which are not surrounded by neighboring buildings were used for the InSAR processing in the research.
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3.2.2. QUICKBIRD data pre-processing

Firstly, the image spatial enhancement was only done on the panchromatic band image using the Wallis adaptive filter in ERDAS software. The Wallis filter process applies a locally adaptive contrast enhancement to a grayscale raster image [29]. A typical global contrast enhancement techniques (e.g., Linear, Normalized) cannot provide good local contrast at both ends of the brightness range. But, the enhancement using the Wallis adaptive filter not only reduces the overall contrast between bright and dark areas, but also produces good local contrast throughout the image. So, some radiometric problems like strong bright and dark regions can be removed by using the Wallis adaptive filter and it improves the existing texture patterns even in homogeneous and shadow areas. This pre-processing optimized the images for further image processing, especially feature extraction [11].

In this research, pan sharpening was done as an image fusion method in which high resolution (0.61m) panchromatic data is fused with lower resolution (2.44m) multispectral data to create a high resolution multispectral data set. Several pan sharpening methods have been proposed in the literature. In ENVI software, the following image sharpening techniques for multi-spectral imagery are available, i.e. a Gram-
Schmidt transform, a principal components (PC) transform. The Gram-Schmidt and PC spectral sharpening tools both create pan-sharpened images, but using different techniques. Both methods were implemented and compared below.

Gram-Schmidt is typically more accurate because it uses the spectral response function of a given sensor to estimate what the panchromatic data look like. And a visual comparison of the fused images shows that the Gram-Schmidt method generated the sharper edges of building. Based on two factors, the Gram-Schmidt fused image was chosen for subsequent image analysis.

3.2.3. AHN-1 data Pre-processing

In the original AHN-1 data, there are missing values which are represented by the zero value. In statistics, missing values occur when no data value is stored for the variable in the current observation. Missing values are a common occurrence and it can severely disturb the conclusions drawn from the data. Since missing values of AHN DSM can affect a significant error during the SAR interferometry flattening process, it should be eliminated by interpolating with neighbouring values.

For the replacement of the missing values in AHN data, the model was generated by using the model maker in the ERDAS software. Firstly, the small kernel size of the matrix during the interpolation was chosen for the small pixel size of the missing values, and then the manually defined matrix kernel size was applied to interpolate the larger remaining pixel size of the missing values.
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Figure 3-9: The generated model (Model maker on the ERDAS software)

Figure 3-10: Example of the replacement of missing value

1) **Re-projection, Re-calculation of the elevation values and Resampling**

The original projection system of the LIDAR AHN-1 DSM is the Double Stereographic, Bessel Spheroid and the vertical datum is the Amersfoort (ITC, Coordinate Frame). But, in order to match it with the SAR projection on the SARscape software, it was re-projected to the UTM 31N projection, and the vertical datum of it was recalculated to WGS 84. Then, the LIDAR DSM was resampled by cubic convolution algorithm to make 2.8 m × 2.8 m grid size to be matched with multi-looked SAR data.
2) DTM generation from LIDAR AHN-1 DSM (DSM)

The LIDAR DTM was generated for two purposes. One is that the DTM is used as an external reference DEM during SAR interferometry processing and the other is to be used for generating nDSM from InSAR DSM.

Since the LIDAR AHN-1 data is 5m horizontal resolution DSM, it gives the height information of the building objects which are larger than 5m × 5m size in 2D. DTM is derived by subtracting all objects on the terrain from the LIDAR DSM.

In order to obtain a DTM from the LIDAR DSM, mathematical morphological filters can be applied [30]. In this research, this LIDAR DTM generation was done in the ENVI 4.7 software. The opening morphological operator was applied with a predefined structuring element (SE) to generate a DTM from the LIDAR DSM. The SE can be determined by its size and geometry. Especially, the size of the SE is quite important and must be chosen cautiously. If the size of the SE is too small, undesirable area will be interpreted as terrain surface and if size is too large, actual terrain like peaks or hilly areas will be eliminated [31]. In order to eliminate buildings in urban areas, the radius of SE should be larger than half of the largest minimum diameter of the largest building [32]. But, the limitation of this method is that it does not preserve the relief of land.

For the LIDAR DSM of the first study site, the opening operator was applied to the subset of the LIDAR DSM with the SE (square kernel size of 25 pixels × 25 pixels or 125 m × 125 m). And for the second study site, the opening operator was applied to the subset of the LIDAR DSM with the SE which has the size of 31 pixels × 31 pixels (5 m grid × 31 pixels = 155m).

As shown in Figure 3-11 and 3-12, the buildings in both study sites are quite large (approximately 40,000~65,000 m²), hence the very large size of the SE was also chosen based on the building size. Due to the size of the SE some actual terrain height information was lost whereas the buildings were completely eliminated from the LIDAR DSM.

![Figure 3-11: DTM generation on the study site 1](image-url)
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Figure 3-12: DTM generation on the study site 2
3.3. DSM generation by SAR interferometry processing

InSAR processing was done by using the SARscape software in ENVI 4.7 as the following approach in Figure 3-13.

![InSAR DSM generation workflow](image)

3.3.1. Baseline Estimation

This function enables to obtain information about the baseline values and other orbital parameters related to the input pair. The extracted parameters are intended as approximate measurements aimed at a preliminary data characteristics and Interferometric quality assessment. The baseline value itself is not used in any part of the Interferometric processing chain.

For InSAR DSM generation, the $2\pi$ ambiguity height ($m$) that is dependent on the perpendicular baseline determines the sensitivity of the Interferogram. There is a simple formula that determines height ambiguity ($h_a$) based on the perpendicular baseline ($B$) [33]:

$$h_a = \frac{\rho \lambda \sin \theta}{2B \cos(\theta - \alpha)} \quad 3-1$$

Where $\lambda$ is the radar wavelength, $\theta$ is the look angle from nadir and $\alpha$ is the baseline orientation angle.
If the baseline length increases when SAR interferometry technique is used to measure the height of topographic map, the baseline decorrelation will be increased, but the height measurement sensitivity will be increased as well because the \( 2\pi \) ambiguity height decreases (Equation 3-1), it will yield more fringes with smaller \( 2\pi \) ambiguity height in the Interferogram. Terrain height measurement accuracy increases with baseline since height measurement sensitivity increases with it. But, if baseline decorrelation is too significant with increasing baseline length, two SAR images become completely uncorrelated. Therefore, there is a trade-off of baseline \([34]\). The value of baseline is called critical baseline when baseline decorrelation leads to uncorrelated SAR echoes. So, critical baseline is an indication if the pair of images is useful for interferometry or not. When the perpendicular component of the baseline increases beyond the critical baseline, no phase information is preserved, coherence is lost, so interferogram cannot be generated \([35]\). The critical baseline \( B_{cr} \), can be calculated as:

\[
B_{cr} = \frac{\lambda R \tan \theta}{2R_r}
\]

Where \( \lambda \) is the wavelength, \( R \) is the slant range distance, \( R_r \) is the slant range resolution, and \( \theta \) is the incidence angle.

3.3.2. Interferogram Generation

This step includes the co-registration of the two SAR images, the multi-looking, the interferogram calculation and the interferogram flattening as following 4 section.

1) Co-registration

The registration of the interferometric geometry is one of the most important steps in InSAR DSM generation process \([36]\). Co-registration between the two images of the same scene makes it possible to use them in the same geometry. One of them is taken as a reference which is defined as master image. By opposition, the other image is called slave.

- **Geometric registration (master / external DEM)**

At the time of this first step, the master image was registered with respect to the external DEM used with a precision of a fraction order of the DEM pixel (2.8 m × 2.8 m). In fact, it made the object of a radiometric simulation according to the geometry of slant range direction of the master image. The image of the DEM projected in radar geometry was then similar to the master image. It is then possible to carry out a correlation between these two images by pairing of homogeneous group pixels, for which one obtains a peak of correlation. This module of the software provided the shift in distance, azimuth and the rate of correlation between the main image and simulation. From these shifts, it generated a more precisely registration.

In this step, the grid of the DEM must be sufficiently small since it is necessary to use ground control points between the images. In addition, it is preferable to have a well distinguished relief of the topography on the images to have good ground control points \([36]\).

- **Registration (image master / slave)**

Spatial registration of the SAR images is necessary. In cases where two SAR images cover the same region, it is necessary that pixels in different images correspond so that pixel-by-pixel comparisons can be carried out. Co-registration was carried out, based on maximising correlation in a set of windows (cross correlation grid).
2) Multi-looking

Multi-looking processing has been used to reduce phase noise in SAR interferometry processing since SAR interferometry techniques were developed in the late 1970’s [37]. Phase noise gives a difficulty to create DEM from an interferogram. If the phase noise [38-39], which is mostly caused by radar thermal noise, speckle due to coherent SAR processing, decorrelation, and registration noise, is too strong, some fringes of an interferogram will be totally lost which will cause errors in InSAR DEM.

The goal is also to obtain in the multi-looked image approximately squared pixels considering the pixel spacing in ground range (and not the pixel spacing in slant range) and the pixel spacing in azimuth. In order to avoid over- or under-sampling effects in the geo-coded image, it is recommended to generate a multi-looked image corresponding to approximately the same pixel spacing foreseen for the geo-coded image product. The number of looks is determined by pixel spacing in azimuth, pixel spacing in slant range, and incidence angle. The pixel range in ground range is defined as:

\[ \alpha_G = \frac{\alpha_S}{\sin(\theta)} \]

Where:

- \( \alpha_G \) is the pixel spacing in ground range,
- \( \alpha_S \) is the pixel spacing in slant range and
- \( \theta \) is the incidence angle.

In the research, the Single Look Complex data were processed with the following parameters:

- Pixel spacing azimuth = 0.87 m
- Pixel spacing slant range \( (\alpha_S) = 0.91 \) m
- Incidence angle \( (\theta) = 37.595^\circ \)

The information of the parameters was available in the metadata of the SLC data (header file). So, the pixel spacing in ground range is:

\[ \alpha_G = \frac{0.91}{\sin(37.595^\circ)} = 1.49 \text{ m} \]

So, the pixel size of the SLC is \((1.49 \text{ m}, 0.87 \text{ m})\). In order to get an approximate squared pixel size of multi-looked image, the 2:3 multi-looking factor was done on the SLC (Complex SAR format: real and imaginary parts) to get \((2.98 \text{ m}, 2.61 \text{ m})\) pixel of multi-looked image. In this step, the multi-looked image with a large multi-looking factor lost the original phase signal information by resampling whereas the image without multi-looking (1:1) had distortion of the image in azimuth direction and too much phase noise, therefore 2:3 was chosen for the multi-looking process.

The noticeable thing on the choice of multi-looking is that the final grid size \((2.8 \text{ m}, 2.8 \text{ m})\) of InSAR DSM will be approximately same as the pixel size of multi-looked images to achieve high accuracy of DSM [36].

3) Interferogram Calculation

After the two single-complex images are co-registered, the interferogram is computed according to:

\[ I = S_1 \cdot S_2^* = |S_1| \cdot |S_2| \cdot e^{i\varphi} \]

Where \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \) are the corresponding complex values of the co-registered images, \( \ast \) indicates the conjugate of a complex variable and \( \varphi = \varphi_1 - \varphi_2 \) is the interferometric phase.

The interferometric phase can be written as [40]:

\[ \varphi = \varphi_1 - \varphi_2 \]
Where $\varphi_{\text{flat}}$ is the “flat earth” phase, $\varphi_{\text{topo}}$ is the topographic phase, $\varphi_{\text{obj}}$ is the phase from the objects (e.g. buildings) on the topography, $\varphi_{\text{def}}$ is the deformation phase, $\varphi_{\text{atm}}$ is the atmospheric delay phase and $\varphi_{\text{noi}}$ is the noise from measurement and in Equation 3-6, $\varphi_{\text{noi}}$ can be removed by using an Interferogram filtering method and a multi-looking (resampling) process. Since the temporal baseline (11 days imaging interval in the research) is sufficiently short, there is no deformation phase $\varphi_{\text{def}}$. If the atmospheric delay phase $\varphi_{\text{atm}}$ can be ignored, then Equation 3.6 reduces to:

$$\varphi = \varphi_{\text{flat}} + \varphi_{\text{topo}} + \varphi_{\text{obj}}$$

4) **Interferogram Flattening**

The constant phase (due to the acquisition geometry) and the phase expected for a flat Earth or for a known topography (in case an external Digital Elevation Model is used) are separated from the interferometric phase. In order to see how the external DEM affects the accuracy of the SAR interferometry processing, the interferogram flattening without DEM (only with the Ellipsoidal height), the flattening with the SRTM, the flattening with the LIDAR DTM and the flattening with the LIDAR DSM were implemented in this step. After this flattening, the previously generated interferogram was split in two components:

- **Synthetic phase**

This is low frequency phase, which is related to the reference DEM or ellipsoidal height and to the constant phase (phase variation intrinsic to the InSAR system geometry). The synthetic phase is calculated using orbital data, system and processing parameters and an external DEM (alternatively the ellipsoidal height). It contains the constant phase (due to the acquisition geometry) and the phase expected for a flat Earth or for a known topography (in case an external DEM is used).

$$\varphi_{\text{syn\_ellip}} = \varphi_{\text{flat}} + \varphi_{\text{ellip}}$$
$$\varphi_{\text{syn\_SRTM}} = \varphi_{\text{flat}} + \varphi_{\text{topo\_SRTM}}$$
$$\varphi_{\text{syn\_DTM}} = \varphi_{\text{flat}} + \varphi_{\text{topo\_DTM}}$$
$$\varphi_{\text{syn\_DSM}} = \varphi_{\text{flat}} + \varphi_{\text{topo\_DSM}} + \varphi_{\text{obj\_DSM}}$$

Where $\varphi_{\text{syn}}$ (synthetic phase) can be determined in different ways with respect to different external height information sources as shown in Equation 3-8. For example, $\varphi_{\text{syn\_ellip}}$ is generated from $\varphi_{\text{flat}}$ and $\varphi_{\text{ellip}}$ which is the simulated phase from the ellipsoidal height if an ellipsoidal height is used instead of an external DEM. Also, other synthetic phases are generated in the same way according to simulated phase from different external height information.

$\varphi_{\text{topo\_SRTM}}$ is the simulated phase from the topography of the SRTM if a SRTM is used, $\varphi_{\text{topo\_DTM}}$ is the simulated phase from the topography of the LIDAR DTM if a LIDAR DTM is used, and $\varphi_{\text{topo\_DSM}} + \varphi_{\text{obj\_DSM}}$ is the simulated phase from the topography and the object of the LIDAR DSM if a LIDAR DSM is used.
• **Flattened Interferogram**

This is high frequency phase, which is related to the difference with respect to an external DEM or an ellipsoidal height. Flattened Interferogram is generated by subtracting synthetic phase from the previously generated Interferogram. In this research, flattened interferogram will be called remaining phase.

\[
\varphi_{\text{remaining}} = \varphi - \varphi_{\text{syn}} = (\varphi_{\text{flat}} + \varphi_{\text{topo}} + \varphi_{\text{obj}}) - \varphi_{\text{syn}}
\]  

In Equation 3-9, the remaining phase can defined differently according to the use of different external height information sources. For example, if the LIDAR DSM is used for flattening process, \(\varphi_{\text{synDSM}}\) will be subtracted from the interferometric phase (\(\varphi\)) to get:

\[
\varphi_{\text{remaining}} = \varphi_{\text{topo}} + \varphi_{\text{obj}} - \varphi_{\text{topoDSM}} - \varphi_{\text{objDSM}}
\]

3.3.3. Coherence generation and adaptive filtering

Given two co-registered complex SAR images (\(S_1\) and \(S_2\)), the interferometric coherence (\(\gamma\)) is defined as the absolute value of the normalized complex cross correlation between the two signals [41].

\[
\gamma = \frac{\left| \sum_{n=1}^{N} S_1^{(n)} \cdot S_2^{*(n)} \right|}{\sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{N} |S_1^{(n)}|^2 \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{N} |S_2^{(n)}|^2}} \quad 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1
\]

Where \(N\) is the number of pixels in the moving window (5 × 5 pixels) for coherence estimation, \(S_1\) and \(S_2\) are complex numbers and \(S^*\) is the complex conjugate. The observed coherence which ranges between 0 and 1 is the indication of systemic spatial de-correlation, the additive noise, and the scene decorrelation that takes place between the two acquisitions. In the research, coherence was used for a twofold purpose:

- To determine the quality of the measurement (i.e. interferometric phase). Before the phase unwrapping, coherence threshold should be carefully chosen to avoid the unwrapping error from low coherent areas, e.g. vegetated areas. So, pixels with coherence values smaller than this threshold will be not unwrapped. In the research, phases having coherence values lower than 0.4 was not considered for the further processing.
- To extract thematic information about the object on the ground in combination with the backscattering coefficient, i.e. the coherence of buildings is much higher than other areas.

And the filtering of the flattened interferogram generated the output product with reduced phase noise. The coherence values were used to set the window size; the mean intensity difference among adjacent pixels is used to identify a stationary area, which defines the maximum dimension and the shape of the filtering windows. The process is aimed at preserving the smallest interferometric fringe patterns.

3.3.4. Phase unwrapping with region growing method

The phase of the Interferogram can only be modulo \(2\pi\); hence anytime the phase change becomes larger than \(2\pi\) the phase starts again and the cycle repeats itself. It is thus necessary to determine the multiple of \(2\pi\) to add with the phase measured on each point to obtain an estimate of the real phase [36]. Phase Unwrapping is the process that resolves this \(2\pi\) ambiguity as shown in Figure 3-14. The unwrapping phase thus consists in redistributing with each pixel its absolute phase.
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Figure 3-14: Phase unwrapping process- Right figure: 3 dimensional (Source: [36])

Two algorithms (region growing, minimum cost flow) are available on the SARscape phase unwrapping process; in essence, none of these is perfect and different or combined approaches should be applied on a case by case basis to get optimal results. In two unwrapping algorithms, the accuracy of the result depends on the path chosen to perform the unwrapping. Choosing the best path is difficult for many current algorithms. A fast and robust solution for local phase unwrapping is the region growing algorithm [42]. The region growing algorithm minimizes unwrapping errors by starting at pixels of high data quality and proceeding along dynamic paths where unwrapping confidence is high. Areas that are difficult to unwrap are then approached from a number of directions. Thus, the algorithm is able to correct unwrapping errors to a certain extent and stop their propagation. The basic idea of this algorithm is the following: The pixels around an already solved region which have a sufficient number of unwrapped neighboring pixels are decided to be growth pixels. From the unwrapped phase values a prediction of the absolute phase is made providing to add the correct $2\pi$ multiple to the growth pixels. As described above, the success of this method depends strongly upon the path chosen to perform the unwrapping and on the quality of the prediction. Unwrapping errors are minimized by starting at pixels of high data quality or coherence and then relaxing the coherence limit step by step. At a certain critical coherence limit the algorithm starts to be unstable and wrong $2\pi$-jumps are made.

Phase unwrapping is particularly difficult because of the side-looking scene illumination which causes layover, shadowing and foreshortening effect [42]. Especially, if there are large areas of low coherence, which are mainly caused by layover due to the extreme local topography, the interferogram is considered to be difficult to unwrap. These areas of low coherence segment the interferogram into many pieces, which creates structural difficulties for the unwrapping algorithm. So, two constraints burden this process [36]: firstly, surface must be relatively regular; for this reason, it is preferable that it is smoothed beforehand. Secondly, the absolute variation between two close pixels must be lower than $\pi$.

For these difficulties, an external DEM can be used as known topographic phase in the generated synthetic phase as mentioned in Section 3.3.2 and subtracted from the interferogram. The remaining phase, which is height difference with respect to the external DEM, is much easier for phase unwrapping [43]. Then, the subtracted topographic and object phase from the interferometric phase is added back to achieve the total height of DEM during phase to height conversion.

Based on the purpose of using an external DEM for InSAR processing, the assumption was made in this phase unwrapping step that if the LIDAR DSM with the topographic and objects height is used as an external DEM during the Interferogram flattening, the phase unwrapping process will be much easier than using the ellipsoidal height information, the SRTM and the LIDAR DTM because the remaining phase, flattened by subtracting the known topographic and object phase of the LIDAR DSM, has less variation between local height differences.
3.3.5. Orbital Refinement

The registration of the interferometric geometry is one of the most important steps in DEM generation process. The accuracy of the registration depends directly to the baseline precision. Moreover, the horizontal and vertical errors obtained on an InSAR DEM are also from an insufficient precision on the baseline. It is thus important to precisely reconstruct the orbital trajectories of the satellites in order to know their position exactly [36]. Also, since the orbital parameters of the satellites are not accurate enough to allow a rigorous transformation from the phase to heights, the orbital refinement is needed. [44] It allows both to optimise a more accurate estimate of the orbits and the corresponding baseline in terms of shift in azimuth and range direction and convergence of the orbits in azimuth and range direction and to calculate the phase offset (i.e. getting the absolute phase values) [45].

For optimising the parameters mentioned above, the Ground Control Points (GCPs) with known height from external DEM or ellipsoidal height information should be selected. The GCPs, which are used to calculate the correction parameters, was selected on the flattened interferogram in order to avoid areas where topographic fringes remained "un-flattened". The GCPs must be well distributed throughout the entire scene. The GCPs should be located on areas with good coherence. In the GCP selection, the GCPs were selected from the areas with high coherence which are greater than 0.8. In order to have unbiased comparison of how the different external DEM influence the orbital accuracy, 3 different sets of GCPs (15 points per 1 set of GCPs) were generated for each study site and applied to the orbital refinement.

While the orbital refinement processing, the Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) is computed with respect to the external DEM height values (SRTM, LIDAR DTM and DSM) or ellipsoidal height values and the derived height from the interferometric phase, and it is minimized by optimising the parameters.

Large errors (in the order of hundreds or thousands meters) eventually bring to wrong orbital refinement results. Care must be taken also when very small errors (less than 1m) are reported. As a rule of thumb the RMSE ranging from around 2m to around 10m are a good preliminary indication that the GCPs have been properly located and the orbital refinement was successful [45].

After the orbital refinement, the optimised parameters are added in the header file of the unwrapped phase.

3.3.6. Phase to Height Conversion and Geo-coding

The absolute calibrated and unwrapped phase was re-combined with the synthetic phase and it is converted to height and geo-coded into a map projection. This step was implemented only by using the interferogram outputs created with the LIDAR DSM during the flattening process on the basis of the result of the orbital refinement (Section 4.1.4).

Because the simulated topographic and objects phase of the synthetic phase were removed from the interferogram to make the phase unwrapping much easier by using the LIDAR DSM during the flattening (Section 3.3.2), those phases should be re-combined to the unwrapped phase to get total height of a DSM.

Then, the unwrapped phase values with the re-combined topographic and objects phase were converted to height value. And the geo-coding was done by considering the LIDAR DSM position and the SAR sensor orbit information with the related geodetic and cartographic transforms of the LIDAR DSM (WGS-84 datum, UTM 31N map projection). Then, the geo-coding information was applied simultaneously to the two SAR antennae, making it possible to obtain not only the height of each pixel, but also its location (Easting, Northing) in the UTM 31N and WGS-84 reference system. As result of this step, the geo-coded height map (DSM) and coherence image were created. The final InSAR DSM has the 2.8m grid size on the ground range geometry and the horizontal location information (latitude, longitude) with the vertical height value (meters) in the UTM 31N, WGS-84 datum.
3.4. Building Footprint Extraction by OOA

The overall method is described in Figure 3-15. First, the QuickBird image was visually interpreted and analysed by different interpretation elements. It provided a general understanding of the image. Then, the segmentation was applied to the image and the classification was done with the generated rule set using the InSAR DSM external layer for building extraction in eCognition Developer 8 software.

![Flow chart of the Building Footprint Extraction](image-url)
3.4.1. Visual Image Interpretation

Image visual interpretation is the act of examining images to identify objects and judge their significance. It is important to not only get an insight of the QuickBird image, but also to identify feature properties of objects and their relationships which are useful for further analysis and supports building extraction from the image [11]. The most important characteristics that can be studied on the image in visual image interpretation are: shape, size, association, shadows, texture and tone [46]. Based on these characteristics, the visual image interpretation was carried out.

- **Complexity of urban area in OOA**

  Due to spectral complexity of urban areas, it’s difficult to extract correctly objects from optical image. Different neighbouring objects, e.g. building, parking areas or road, may be constructed with same materials or an object may be made of different materials, e.g. a building is built with concrete, metal, bricks, tiles or synthetic materials. So, single building may have different spectral characteristics from different parts of the building. The different spectral properties of the building and the similar spectral properties of the buildings and the parking area are shown in Figure 3-16.

![Figure 3-16: Example of spectral characteristics in the study site 2](image)

In this case, the building cannot be distinguished only on the basis of spectral properties. But, the building can be extracted by integrating spectral, spatial and contextual information. The several information can be used to create a rule set for building extraction, so that spatial and contextual properties gives additional helps with spectral information to differentiate the buildings from the other objects.

3.4.2. Multi-resolution Segmentation

Segmentation algorithms are required if creation of new image objects levels based on image layer information is needed. The segmentation is desired to provide meaningful object primitives for further feature recognition and thematic classification [47] and it is used to subdivide entire images at a pixel level, or specific image objects from other domains into smaller image objects [48]. The eCognition Developer 8 software provides 7 segmentation algorithms, ranging from very simple algorithms, such as chessboard and quadtree-based segmentation, to highly sophisticated methods such as multi-resolution segmentation and contrast filter segmentation. For this research, the multi-resolution segmentation was done in this step and the chessboard segmentation was used in the building refinement step (Section 3.4.4).

Multi-resolution segmentation algorithm is characterized as a bottom-up region-merging process starting with one-pixel objects. Smaller image objects are subsequently merged into larger ones, forming segmentations with objects in different scales [47]. The merging decision is based on a homogeneity
criterion, which defines the similarity among adjacent segments. This homogeneity criterion is defined as a combination of spectral homogeneity and shape homogeneity [48]. The pair of image objects, having small increment and within the user-defined threshold of the homogeneity criterion is merged. The process continues until it finds the image objects fulfilling the defined criteria and terminates when the increment on homogeneity exceeds the threshold [20]. In the homogeneity criterion, three parameters are computed: scale, color and shape (Figure 3-17). The scale parameter is applied to determine the maximum acceptable heterogeneity for the resulting image objects. For heterogeneous areas of data, the resulting objects for a given scale parameter will be smaller than in more homogeneous areas. By modifying the value in the scale parameter value, the size of image objects is changed, e.g. bigger image objects in size but less in numbers, can be produced by applying higher scale parameter and vice versa.

And the homogeneity criterion further depends on a combination of color and shape parameters. The value of the Shape field modifies the relationship between shape and color criteria; By modifying the Shape criterion, the color criteria (color = 1 - shape) is defined.

![Multi-resolution segmentation concept flow diagram](source: [48])

In effect, by decreasing the value assigned to the Shape field, it defines to which percentage the spectral values of the image layers will contribute to the entire homogeneity criterion. This is weighted against the percentage of the shape homogeneity, which is defined as a combination of smoothness and compactness. The compactness parameter is used to optimize image objects with regard to compactness. This criterion is used when different image objects which are rather compact, but are separated from non-compact objects only by a relatively weak spectral contrast.

### 3.4.3. Rule-based Classification

1) **Feature properties analysis / creation of rule set**

The visual image interpretation, the semantic knowledge of the study areas from the observation and the available InSAR DSM helped to generate a rule set for the extraction of buildings.

Firstly, in order to separate the distinct objects which can be extracted easily by using spectral properties from the image, some customized rules were generated. NDVI (Normalized Difference Vegetation Index), NDWI (Normalized Difference Water Index) and SSI (Spectral Shape Index) were made by
composing multiple features via arithmetic operations for extraction of vegetation, water body and shadow, respectively.

\[
\text{NDVI} = \frac{\text{NIR} - \text{Red}}{\text{NIR} + \text{Red}}, \quad \text{NDWI} = \frac{\text{Green} - \text{NIR}}{\text{Green} + \text{NIR}}, \quad \text{SSI} = \text{ABS(Red + Blue} - 2 \times \text{Green})
\]

Vegetation in the image has higher NDVI than any other objects. So, by using a threshold of NDVI, the vegetation can be separated obviously. The water can be extracted by using NDWI value as proposed in [49]. And the shadow can be classified by using SSI, which is quite effective to distinguish shadows in very high resolution images [24]. Therefore, the suitable thresholds of those indices were chosen carefully to extract vegetation, water and shadow in the rule set.

Then, since the buildings are taller than any other objects, the height information from the InSAR DSM can be applied to extract the taller objects in the image. Because the trees were already classified by using NDVI, the main remaining elevated objects are buildings. Applying a certain height threshold from the InSAR DSM, the buildings can be roughly distinguished because the InSAR DSM is not accurate enough. Due to the uncertainty of the InSAR DSM, some parking area, bare ground or road areas can be classified as building area whereas some building areas may not be classified well. So, the firstly classified buildings are considered as the approximate building class and the buildings are further inspected to reclassify the actual buildings from the other incorrectly extracted ground objects, e.g. parking areas, roads. For that, area (spatial property), brightness (spectral property) and relative border to buildings (contextual property) are used to differentiate buildings from remaining other objects. On the other hand, the actual buildings which were unclassified can also be reclassified by utilizing the similar spatial, spectral and contextual information.

The schematic diagram for the rule set is shown in Figure 3-18.
2) Building Footprint extraction without InSAR DSM

In this step, in order to see how the height information from the InSAR DSM helps to make the process of building extraction easier, building extraction without the InSAR DSM was implemented for the comparison with building extraction with the InSAR DSM.

As shown in Figure 3-18, the approach was applied to extract the buildings, but without the InSAR DSM layer. Firstly, the vegetation, water body and shadow areas were classified by NDVI, NDWI and SSI, respectively. But, as the spectral similarity among buildings and other objects (parking areas, cars, paved roads, etc.), and spectral variability of a building was explained already, the extraction of buildings by only using spectral properties was found difficult. Hence, the implementation was done by using different spectral (brightness), spatial (area, length, rectangular fit) and contextual (relative border to building, vegetation) properties.

3) Building Footprint extraction with InSAR DSM

The InSAR DSM was used as an external layer to help the classification of the image segments, especially the buildings, because taller objects and other objects can be differentiated on the basis of height information. Based on similar approach as described in the previous section, the vegetation, water and shadow areas were classified by manipulating certain NDVI, NDWI and SSI thresholds. Then, the InSAR DSM was applied with a height threshold of 49 m to classify the approximate buildings (The average terrain height of the both study areas was around 42 m in the WGS-84 vertical datum). Then, all building segments were merged to reshape into the actual building footprint. The same approach for the building footprint extraction was repeated by utilizing the InSAR DSM in the both study areas.

3.4.4. Refinement of Building Footprint

Building footprint refinement was required since the extracted buildings were not in smooth shape. For the refinement, the chessboard segmentation and morphological (opening) operation were mainly applied to reshape the building footprint into final smooth shape. Finally, the extracted building footprint was exported to the vector shape file.

1) Chessboard segmentation

The chessboard segmentation is based on the top down region splitting method and split the pixel domain or an image object domain into square image objects [48]. It can be used for refining the image objects. The image objects, which have already been identified, can be segmented with a small square size parameter for more detailed analysis. The size of chessboard tile can be controlled by setting number of pixels according to user’s requirement.

2) Object Reshaping algorithm

This reshaping algorithm was applied to modify the shape of the building after the chessboard segmentation. The pixel based opening morphological operation was performed on the all building segments. Then, contextual information (relative border to building) was used to make the smoother boundary of the buildings and the final building segments were merged to become the building object.

3) Export (Vector shape file)

The extracted building footprints were exported to the vector shape file.
3.5. Generation of 2.5D Building model

In this step, firstly nDSM was generated by using the InSAR DSM and the LIDAR DTM (Section 3.2.3). And the extracted building footprint was applied to get the nDSM of the only building footprint without neighbouring areas around the buildings. Then, the building nDSM (2.5D model) was shown in ArcScene to visualize as 2.5D.

3.5.1. nDSM creation

Since the InSAR DSM has surface elevation including terrain height, the terrain height should be eliminated from it to only estimate the object height as shown in Figure 3-19.

For the generation of the terrain height model, there were two ways that implemented in this research; one was by using the InSAR DSM and the other was by using the LIDAR DSM. From the both DSM, the DTM could be extracted by using the morphological operator as described in Section 3.2.3. But, because of the low coherence in the InSAR DSM due to vegetation near the buildings, there was error on the height estimation of the ground areas near the buildings. So, it resulted in wrong height determination on the vegetated and neighbouring areas in the generated DTM by using the InSAR DSM. Differently, the LIDAR DSM has smaller error on the vegetated and neighbouring areas, so it was suitable to be applied for the DTM creation. Therefore, the generated LIDAR DTM was used for this step. Since the coordinate system and pixel size of the InSAR DSM and the LIDAR DTM are same, it was easy process to subtract the DTM from the DSM.

3.5.2. 2.5D building modelling (Building nDSM)

As the objective of this research is to only generate 2.5D building model, elimination of any other object height from the nDSM, except for the building height, was needed. This process was done in ENVI 4.7 software.

Firstly, the extracted building footprint vector layer was overlaid on the nDSM and the image mask was built from the building footprint vector layer. The mask is a binary image that has values of 0 for the area outside the building footprint layer and 1 for the area inside the layer. When the mask was applied to the nDSM by using the generated processing function, that if the masked value is 0 (area outside the layer), it changes the nDSM value to 0 value whereas the masked 1 value (area inside the layer) gives the original nDSM value, it only gave the height information of the area inside the building footprint layer.
3.6. **Height accuracy assessment of 2.5D building model**

This step is to assess the height accuracy of the created building model with respect to reference model, in order to understand potentials and limits of the method used in the 2.5D building modeling. The assessment is done by 2 ways. One is compared with the reference building model from the LIDAR DSM (Section 3.6.1). And the other is assessed by the height information from the field visit with the measurement instruments, i.e. a clinometer, a measuring tape (Section 3.6.2).

3.6.1. **Comparison by reference building model (LIDAR building nDSM)**

1) **Reference building modelling by LIDAR nDSM**

Since the 2.5D building model was generated by the created nDSM from SAR interferometry processing, a LIDAR nDSM should also be prepared for a reference model. So, based on the described method in Section 3.5.1, the generated LIDAR DTM (Section 3.2.3) was subtracted from the LIDAR DSM to get LIDAR nDSM.

Then, reference building model was created by using the extracted building footprint and the LIDAR nDSM with same method described in Section 3.5.2.

2) **Evaluation of the building model by the reference**

The height accuracy of the generated 4 building models in the study sites was evaluated. The accuracy was computed as mean, median, min and max value of height differences, and RMSE of height differences between the tested building model and the reference building model.

For mean height difference, the height of the tested building model was subtracted by the height of the reference building model and the height differences were averaged to achieve the mean height difference between two models.

And for RMSE of the difference between two models, the following equation was applied.

\[
\text{RMSE} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N}(Z - Z_{\text{ref}})^2}{N - 1}}
\]

Where \(Z\) is the height of the tested building model, \(Z_{\text{ref}}\) is the height of the reference building model and \(N\) is the number of pixels, chosen for RMSE calculation.

3.6.2. **Assessment by field data**

To get an insight of the study buildings and height information, field work was done by a clinometer and a measuring tape in the study sites. During the field work for measuring height, two buildings height of the first study site couldn't be measured due to security issue. So, only 2 buildings height of the second study site was measured by using the measurement instruments.

- **Clinometer**

Suunto PM-5/1520 is an instrument for measuring heights, especially heights of trees or buildings. When measured from distances of 15 m and 20 m, building heights can be read straight from the instrument’s scales. Height can be estimated easily and fast by using the clinometer, but accuracy of measurement varies because the accuracy depends on user’s expertise and environment of study area, such as terrain undulation, building roof regularity, etc. Also, minimum scale of measurement of the clinometer is 0.25 m; it means that there is 0.25 m uncertainty of between 1 scales.
4. RESULT AND ANALYSIS

Based on the described methodology in Chapter 3, the data was implemented to achieve the research objectives. This chapter deals with the results from the methods adopted and analysis of the results.

4.1. DSM generation by SAR interferometry processing

4.1.1. Estimated baseline

After the baseline estimation, the estimated baseline was 131.35 m and the height ambiguity was 45.94 m as shown in Table 4-1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline and orbital parameters information</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal Baseline (m)</td>
<td>131.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical Baseline (m)</td>
<td>6792.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2π Ambiguity height (m)</td>
<td>45.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As described in Section 3.3.1, if a baseline is larger than 131.35 such as 300 or 400 m, it will yield more fringes with smaller $2\pi$ ambiguity height in the Interferogram, thus it will be more sensitive to topography or structures such as buildings on the Interferogram.

4.1.2. Generated SAR interferogram

After the co-registration between the master and slave SLC images with an external DEM, the multi-looking was applied by 2:3 to get square grid size for further processing. So, the following interferogram products were generated on 2.98 m × 2.61 m grid size.

1) Interferometric phase

The SAR interferogram was generated by cross-multiplying, pixel by pixel, the first SAR image with the complex conjugate of the second. Its phase (the interferometric phase) is the phase difference between the images (Figure 4-1).

![Interferogram images](image_url)
As shown in Figure 4-1, only building and road areas have noticeably visible fringes, but no clear fringes are detected in other areas since there are low coherent areas because of un-correlation due to vegetation, no signal information from water body and the continuous alteration of layover/shadow areas due to the buildings. Movement or growth of vegetation affects un-correlation between the SAR pair and water body and the specular bounce from the water body results in no signal information. Also, tall buildings give layover and shadow areas in neighboring areas.

2) **Flattened interferogram**

After the synthetic phase was subtracted from the interferometric phase, the flattened interferogram (remaining phase, $\Phi_{\text{remaining}}$) was remained (Figure 4-2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study site 1</th>
<th>Study site 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a) with the Ellipsoidal height (40.17m)</td>
<td>(e) with the Ellipsoidal height (42.68m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) with the SRTM-3</td>
<td>(f) with the SRTM-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) with the LIDAR DTM</td>
<td>(g) with the LIDAR DTM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d) with the LIDAR DSM</td>
<td>(h) with the LIDAR DSM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4-2: Flattened interferogram

The noticeable thing is difference of the flattened interferogram with the LIDAR DSM from other flattened interferogram outputs. As shown in Figure 4-2 (d) and (h), the object phase of building was also flattened by subtracting the simulated object phase ($\Phi_{\text{obj DSM}}$) of the synthetic phase from the interferometric phase. But, in other outputs, only topographic and flat earth phase was removed by subtracting the simulated phase of the ellipsoidal height (a, e) and the DTMs (b, c, f and g) from the interferometric phase, therefore, whole object phase of buildings was remained in the flattened interferogram.
3) **Generated Coherence image**

To determine the quality of the measurement, i.e. interferometric phase and use the coherence value for further processing, the coherence image was generated. As shown in Figure 4-3, the building areas and roads are highly correlated, but the vegetated areas, the water body and the neighboring areas of the buildings are almost un-correlated.

In the research, phases having coherence values lower than 0.4 was not considered for the further processing. Before the phase unwrapping, coherence threshold was carefully chosen to avoid the unwrapping error from low coherent areas, e.g. vegetated areas. So, pixels with coherence values smaller than this threshold were not unwrapped. In the study sites, the neighboring areas around the target buildings are covered with some vegetated areas. For both study sites, 0.4 was chosen as the coherence threshold since the vegetated areas have the coherence range between 0~0.4 as shown in Figure 4-3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study site 1</th>
<th>Study site 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1" alt="QuickBird image" /></td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="QuickBird image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Coherence image" /></td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Coherence image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image5" alt="Coherence value profile" /></td>
<td><img src="image6" alt="Coherence value profile" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4-3: The reason for the selection the coherence threshold (0.4) for further process

### 4.1.3. Unwrapped phase

Since the flattened interferogram is only modulo $2\pi$, phase unwrapping process was done to this $2\pi$ ambiguity of the interferogram.

As explained as that low coherent areas affect wrong phase unwrapping, the pixels which have coherence value less than 0.4, were not used in this process. To see how much low coherent areas affect wrong unwrapping output, the unwrapped phase with the coherence threshold 0.15 was also generated. In Figure 4-4, (a) and (c) has unwrapping error ($2\pi$-jumps) which cause approximately 45 m difference in InSAR DSM, visualized in some parts as sudden change of grey colour.
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4.1.4. **Refined Orbital information**

The orbital refinement was done for not only refining possible orbital inaccuracies and calculating the phase offset to get the absolute phase values, but also comparing the RMSEs of the orbital refinement from the interferogram output with the ellipsoidal height and different levels of the external DEMs.

As described in Table 4-2, the RMSEs of the orbital refinement, which was calculated from the interferogram output with the LIDAR DSM used in the interferogram flattening, are the smallest in the both study sites. It means that the SAR interferometry processing with the LIDAR DSM is more accurate since the LIDAR DSM helped registration of the SAR pair to be done more precisely and phase unwrapping to be done easily with less unwrapping error as described in Section 3.3.4.

Therefore, the remaining process, i.e. Phase to Height conversion, was only implemented by using the interferogram outputs processed with the LIDAR DSM and the refined orbital information from the A and D sets of GCPs for each study site.
Table 4-2: RMSE with different levels of the external DEMs—Ellipsoidal height was 40.17 m for site 1 and 42.68 m for site 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study sites and set of GCPs</th>
<th>Ellipsoidal height</th>
<th>SRTM-DEM</th>
<th>LIDAR DTM</th>
<th>LIDAR DSM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 A</td>
<td>5.61</td>
<td>6.48</td>
<td>5.89</td>
<td><strong>2.49</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 B</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>2.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 C</td>
<td>6.90</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>5.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 D</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>4.49</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td><strong>3.12</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 E</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>4.31</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>3.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 F</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>3.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.1.5. Generated DSM and coherence map

After the conversion from the phase to height, the final InSAR DSM was generated by the 2.8m × 2.8m grid size on the ground range geometry and the horizontal location information (latitude, longitude) with the vertical height value (meters) in the UTM 31N projection, WGS-84 datum.

![Generated DSM and coherence map](image)
4.2. Building Footprint Extraction by OOA

4.2.1. Visual Image Interpretation

The most important characteristics that can be studied on the image in visual image interpretation are: shape, size, association, shadows, texture and tone [46].

![Study site 1](a)

![Study site 2](b)

Figure 4-6: Overview of the study area on the QuickBird image

Based on these characteristics, the visual image interpretation was carried out. The detailed information from the observation is given in Table 4-3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interpretation Element</th>
<th>Visual Interpretation</th>
<th>Study site 1</th>
<th>Study site 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A (Building)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shape</td>
<td>Complex</td>
<td>Rectangular</td>
<td>Complex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>Very large 45,753 m²</td>
<td>large 10,718 m²</td>
<td>Very large 47,539 m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (InSAR DSM)</td>
<td>Roughly 50~60m (WGS-84 vertical datum)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Association</td>
<td>Surrounded by parking area and vegetation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shadow</td>
<td>Clear shadow in north of buildings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texture</td>
<td>Rough texture on the roof</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tone</td>
<td>Most parts are Dark grey / few parts of buildings are bright</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2.2. Multi-resolution Segmentation

As described in Section 3.4.1, the buildings in the image have different spectral properties, shape, size and other characteristics. So, there was no such set of parameters (scale, shape, color) which were equally applicable to get best fitting segments for all building in both study sites. In the research, a set of parameters, which fits practically for the extraction of certain object in a particular image, was chosen by trial and error method. For the first study area, the suitable set of parameters was found as scale 30, shape 0.6 and compactness 0.8 and for the second study area, the proper set of parameters was also judged as
scale 70, shape 0.5 and compactness 0.9. Since compact building boundaries are needed rather than fragile or zigzag boundaries, high values of compactness were used.

Figure 4-7: Segments of the images

(a) with scale, shape and compactness 30, 0.6, 0.7
study site 1

(b) with scale, shape and compactness 70, 0.5, 0.9
study site 2

4.2.3. Rule-based Classification

1) Building Footprint extraction without InSAR DSM

After this step, the problem was occurred on the eastern edges of the buildings where there were not enough contrast between the buildings and the surrounding areas to separate them. The colour of the buildings was similar to the colour of the parking areas and the roads around the buildings. Shape couldn’t discriminate the segments either. The clear context such as shadow areas was not there since the incidence of the sun. Therefore, the building footprint was not extracted correctly as shown in Figure 4-8.

Figure 4-8: The extracted building footprint without the InSAR DSM (Red: Building class)
2) **Building Footprint extraction with InSAR DSM**

When the InSAR DSM was used as an external layer to help the classification of the image segments, most of the building segments were classified as the buildings from the image objects, but due to the InSAR DSM error, some parts of the buildings were unclassified as shown in the yellow circle and the some part of the parking area was misclassified as a building as shown in the blue circle, Figure 4-9.

![Figure 4-9: Application of the InSAR DSM (left image) in the building footprint extraction](image)

In order to solve this problem, spatial properties of the object segments (compactness, rectangular fit, and area) and contextual properties (relative border to building) were used to extract the correct building class as described in Section 3.4.3.

Figure 4-10 shows that the improvement of the extracted building footprint (right image) using the InSAR DSM is quite big comparing to the building footprint without the InSAR DSM (left image).

![Figure 4-10: Comparison between the building extraction without/with InSAR DSM](image)

Therefore, in the research the building extraction process by applying the InSAR DSM was better to differentiate the taller objects from the ground objects in such areas where spectral, spatial and contextual information cannot support completely the classification step.
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4.2.4. Refined Building Footprint

Opening morphological operation was done to define the area of an image object that can completely contain the mask. The area of an image object that cannot contain the mask completely was eliminated as shown in the yellow circles, Figure 4-11. The approach and the output from the building refinement are described in Figure 4-11.

![Figure 4-11: Example of the building Refinement step and result](image)

Then, the refined building footprints were exported as the vector layers to be used for further processing.

![Figure 4-12: The extracted final building footprint](image)
4.3. **2.5D building model**

4.3.1. **Generated nDSM**

As described in Section 3.5.1, the InSAR nDSM was generated by subtracting the LIDAR DTM from the InSAR DSM. The following Figure 4-13 shows the output of the nDSM generation procedure.

![Figure 4-13: nDSM generation process](image)

In Figure 4-13, the minimum values of the nDSMs (c and f) are negative numbers since some parts of the InSAR DSM, indicate lower height value than the terrain height of the LIDAR DTM. It means that there are height errors in the DSM generation due to uncertainty of SAR interferometry processing.

4.3.2. **Generated 2.5D building model (Building nDSM)**

Based on the extracted building footprint vector layer and the nDSM, 2.5D building model was created. As explained in Section 3.5.2, the mask binary image was made from the vector layer and the nDSM, and then applied to the nDSM to only get the height information of the area inside the building footprint layer. Hence, the final 2.5D building model was generated as shown in Figure 4-14.
### 2.5D Visualization of the building

In ArcScene 10 software, the generated building nDSM was visualized as 2.5D building model to show how building roofs look like with their height values, which were combined with RGB colours from the QuickBird image to make more realistic building model as shown in the following figures (4-15, 4-16).

#### Figure 4-14: Estimation of the building height

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study site 1</th>
<th>Study site 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a) nDSM with the building footprint layer</td>
<td>(d) nDSM with the building footprint layer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Mask binary image</td>
<td>(e) Mask binary image</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) Building nDSM</td>
<td>(f) Building nDSM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Study site 1**: (a) nDSM with the building footprint layer, (b) Mask binary image, (c) Building nDSM
- **Study site 2**: (d) nDSM with the building footprint layer, (e) Mask binary image, (f) Building nDSM
Figure 4-15: 2.5D building model with RGB colours from the QuickBird image and grey values, study site 1
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Figure 4-16: 2.5D building model with RGB colours from the QuickBird image and grey values, study site 2
4.4. Height accuracy of the 2.5D building model

4.4.1. Comparison by the reference building model (LIDAR building nDSM)

1) Generated reference building model

As described in Section 3.6.1, the reference building model was generated from the LIDAR DSM with the extracted building footprint layer.

As visualized in Figure 4-17, the detailed roof shapes of the LIDAR building nDSM are close to the roof shapes of the buildings in the QuickBird image and the roofs of the building nDSM have crisp edges with substantial height values between edges. So, the height value of the LIDAR building nDSM was considered as reference height data which is close to the ground truth data to assess the height of InSAR building nDSM. The LIDAR building nDSM was also used to get an insight of how actual roofs of the buildings look like.

2) Evaluation of the building model by the reference

The accuracy was computed as mean, median, min and max value of height differences, and RMSE of height differences between the tested building model and the reference building model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study site</th>
<th>InSAR building nDSM</th>
<th>LIDAR building nDSM</th>
<th>Number of pixels (used)</th>
<th>Mean (m)</th>
<th>Median (m)</th>
<th>RMSE (m)</th>
<th>Min (m)</th>
<th>Max (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>4791</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>-10.64</td>
<td>16.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image4.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>1125</td>
<td>-0.57</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>-9.2</td>
<td>10.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4-17: LIDAR building nDSM
In Table 4-4, the noticeable thing is that the mean of height differences is less than the RMSE of height differences. Height differences between corresponding pixels of the InSAR building nDSM and the LIDAR building nDSM are not constant. It can be determined that the accuracy of the average building height is better than the accuracy of the height for each pixel inside building.

4.4.2. Assessment by field data

As mentioned in Section 3.6.2, the height of two buildings in the first study site was not measured, but the height of other two buildings in the second study site was estimated during the field visit with the clinometer. On the basis of the reference height data, the height of the building model was assessed.

Table 4-5: Assessment of the height accuracy (InSAR building nDSM) by the field data, study site 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Building</th>
<th>Measured Roof boundary</th>
<th>InSAR nDSM height (m)</th>
<th>Height from clinometer (m)</th>
<th>Height difference (m)</th>
<th>Mean (m)</th>
<th>RMSE (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.20</td>
<td>9.65</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>15.95</td>
<td>16.15</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10.20</td>
<td>13.15</td>
<td>-2.95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>12.40</td>
<td>-0.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10.73</td>
<td>11.15</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>16.33</td>
<td>13.65</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>6.31</td>
<td>12.15</td>
<td>-5.84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>11.25</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>-3.65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As shown in Table 4-5, for height measurement of the upper building, the heights of 5 parts (1~5) at significant external roof boundaries were measured by the clinometer. Right part of the building was not available to measure height since it was surrounded by a fence for security. So, only 5 height information was used for evaluating the height of that building. And for height estimation of the lower building, the heights of only 3 (6~8) parts at significant external roof boundaries were estimated.

From the assessment by the field data, the mean value of the height differences between the InSAR building nDSM and the height data from the clinometer is also less than the RMSE of the height differences. Especially, the height difference of the 7th part is noteworthy; the height value of the nDSM on the 7th part is meaningfully small comparing to other measurements because the building has non-building area (courtyard) inside the building, shown in the red circle, hence that area without height may give error propagation during SAR interferometry processing.
5. DISCUSSION

In this chapter, factors, which can influence to quality of the reconstructed 2.5D building, are discussed with respect to DSM generation by SAR interferometry processing and building footprint extraction by OOA, separately. And alternative methods, which were recognized by this research, for 2.5D building modelling, are explained. Then, the limitations of the developed method in this research and possible solutions will be followed. Finally, the conclusion in relation to the research objective and questions are discussed.

5.1. Factors influencing DSM generation by SAR interferometry processing

5.1.1. Choice of SAR data for DSM generation

The choice of suitable data sets for SAR interferometric processing has a substantial influence on the final result. The data sets are affected by two types of decorrelation: the baseline decorrelation and the temporal decorrelation.

The length of the baseline indicates the sensitivity to height change and the amount of baseline decorrelation. As described in Section 3.3.1, terrain height measurement accuracy increases with baseline since height measurement sensitivity increases with it, but the perpendicular baseline should be less than the critical baseline to avoid the baseline de-correlation. In this research, the perpendicular baseline was 131.35m and the critical baseline was 6792.90m (Table 4-1). The perpendicular baseline is quite small comparing to the critical baseline, so if the data is available with the baseline which is larger than 131.35m and less than the critical baseline, it will give more sensitive interferogram output to respect to height measurement accuracy. But, the optimal baseline of the TerraSAR-X HS mode data for InSAR DSM generation in urban areas have not been documented well, so further study is needed to identify the optimal baseline for InSAR DSM generation.

The temporal decorrelation was not considered as a factor which influenced the interferometry processing in this research, because the difference in time between the two data acquisitions is only 11 days.

5.1.2. Methods used

Besides the analysis of the input data characteristics, the method used was investigated to see how it influenced the final output from SAR interferometry processing.

1) Multi-looking

In SAR interferometry processing, multi-looking process is important since it decides square grid size of the final output (InSAR DSM) and reduces the phase noise of the SAR images.

- Without multi-looking

If the multi-looking is not done (same as 1:1), it means that no resampling was done, so gives the smallest grid size of final output without any loss of original information of the SAR data. But, there are two problems. One is about geometric distortion of the SAR images. Originally, the SAR SLC image is stretched along the azimuth direction due to acquisition geometry, so it has 1.49m × 0.87m grid size. These geometrically distorted images will give distorted InSAR DSM as well and also not be matched with the external DEMs due to different geometry of grid.

Other problem is about the phase noise of the images. If multi-looking is done, it means that resampling is also done to reduce the phase noise of the images, but without multi-looking, some
fringes of an interferogram will be totally lost which will cause errors in InSAR DEM due to strong phase noise.

- **With multi-looking**

  In this research, 2:3 for number of looks was chosen to get 2.8 m × 2.8 m grid size of the InSAR DSM. Depending user’s requirement for different applications, number of looks can be changed and the change of number of looks gives different horizontal and vertical accuracy of final output due to resampling. For building modelling, the smallest grid size for InSAR DSM is better to have more detailed information from the SAR pair for better accuracy of the output, so this fact was considered to choose the smallest number of looks 2:3.

2) **Use of different levels of external DEMs**

In this research, the use of different levels of external DEMs was investigated to see how they influence the accuracy of the final output from SAR interferometry processing. The external DEMs were used for reducing errors of phase unwrapping process and improving the precision of the registration between the two SAR images.

- **Co-registration and phase unwrapping**

  From the external DEMs, the simulated topographic phase and object phase (in only case of using the LIDAR DSM) were generated and they were subtracted from the interferometric phase to get the remaining phase (flattened interferogram) which is easier for phase unwrapping. For that, the external DEMs should be co-registered with the master SAR image on the basis of the SAR geometry. For the co-registration between the external DEMs and the master SAR image, the GCPs are necessary. Accurate GCPs between them gives precise co-registration, which influences accuracy of the final output. In order to have good GCPs, it is preferable to have well distinguished relief of the topography or objects on the external DEM. The LIDAR DSM is the most accurate DEM among the external DEMs, so that it has more detailed surface information such as buildings. Distinguished points on large buildings, which are well-detected in the LIDAR DSM and the SAR master image, can be good GCPs. Therefore, the LIDAR DSM was most suitable for fine co-registration. Also, for phase unwrapping, the LIDAR DSM was the most efficient to reduce the phase unwrapping since it was used to make less remaining phase which is much easier to be unwrapped (Section 3.3.2, Section 3.3.4).

- **Registration (orbital refinement)**

  The registration of interferometric geometry depends directly on the baseline precision [36]. It means that insufficient precision on the baseline affects wrong registration, which gives errors obtained on a DSM. Therefore, it is important to precisely refine the orbital information of the satellites in order to know their position perfectly (Section 3.3.5).

  The RMSE from the orbital refinement (Table 4-2) indicates the refined orbital accuracy of the interferometry processing. The result of the RMSE showed that the use of the LIDAR DSM improved the orbital accuracy of the interferometry processing, comparing to the use of other DEMs or ellipsoidal height. It means that the LIDAR DSM was also used to improve the precision of the registration between the two SAR images.

5.1.3. **Geometric constraints**

Due to the side-looking configuration of the SAR sensor, there are layover, shadowing and foreshortening effect in dense urban area. So, the building footprints in SAR intensity images are mostly shifted from actual locations or undetected in shadowing areas.
In order to have less influence by these constraints, the DSM generation was only focused on large building areas without any neighbouring buildings in this research. And the extracted building footprints from the QuickBird image could help the extraction of the building height with correct building footprint from the InSAR DSM.

However, there were still challenges on the estimation of building height from the InSAR DSM. As shown in Figure 4-14, the left upper part of the buildings are having more or less larger height values because the SAR satellite acquired the data via descending orbit path with left side-looking configuration; the layover effect occurred mainly on the left upper side of the buildings. Also, as shown in the second building image in Table 4-5, there is a courtyard, which has no height, inside the building. This sudden height change on the building can cause error propagation to the pixels which are located along the side-looking direction during the interferometry processing. So, most of the right parts to the courtyard have smaller height values than the actual values. Therefore, these geometric constraints are mainly recognized as one of the most difficult problems during DSM generation by SAR interferometry.

5.2. Factors influencing the building footprint extraction by OOA

5.2.1. Parameters for multi-resolution segmentation

Multi-resolution segmentation was applied to the image in eCognition Developer 8 software. The parameters (scale, shape, compactness) for segmentation were chosen by trial and error, they were found carefully to have suitable size and shape of segments for classification. But, the parameters, which were already used for one image, could not be applied again to other images since there are different fitting parameters, chosen by trial and error, for different applications.

5.2.2. Feature properties used in rule-based classification for OOA

Several feature properties of the segmented image objects were analysed to identify the most applicable ones for extracting building successfully. As mentioned in Section 3.4.3, classification of vegetation, water and shadow was straightforward since their spectral characteristics were different from other objects, hence feature properties like NDVI, NDWI and SSI can be used to extract them.

But, the main challenge was to separate buildings from road, bare objects, parking areas, etc. Spectral characteristics were not sufficient because of the spectral variability of building object and spectral similarity between building objects and neighbouring objects like parking area. Spatial properties, such as shape and size of image objects, were also not consistent. Some of building objects were rectangular shape, but others were not. All building segments did not follow the spatial feature properties like rectangular fit, asymmetry and area, etc. And, contextual information like relative border to buildings supported in some extent to classify building segments from other objects but was not completely worked out because there were some other image objects which also have the similar contextual characteristics. In such cases, the spectral, spatial and contextual information were not sufficient to extract the building footprint accurately.

- Building extraction with the InSAR DSM

As explained above, only spectral, spatial and contextual information were not always sufficient to detect the accurate building footprint.

In this research, the height information from the InSAR DSM provided extra support to extract building since taller height is the common property in all buildings. In comparison with buildings, the ground objects such as road, grass and parking areas have less height. Other taller object like tree was easily separated by using NDVI feature property. Therefore, by using height information from the InSAR DSM as an extra layer to apply a certain height threshold along with other feature properties,
the image segments of ground object were separated from building segments, and then only buildings were extracted successfully.

5.3. Alternative methods for building modelling

5.3.1. Building modelling from SAR interferometry without optical image

If the QuickBird (optical) image is not available for extracting building footprint, only SAR data can be used not only to estimate height information, but also to get rough building footprint by using SAR interferometry processing.

After phase to height conversion (Section 4.1.5) was done, the geo-coded coherence map was generated from the SAR pair. As shown in Figure 4-5, the building areas are highly correlated whereas other areas, such as vegetated areas, water body or neighbouring area between buildings, have lower coherence value than building areas. This observation means that building footprint can be extracted from the geo-coded coherence map by applying different characteristics of coherence values between building areas and non-building areas. So, the building footprint from the coherence map also can be used for 2.5D building modelling.

5.3.2. SAR interferometry processing without LIDAR DSM

As explained in Section 5.1.2, the advantages of using the LIDAR DSM among different external DEMs are on the finer co-registration and the easier phase unwrapping, and then they helped the SAR pair to register more accurately by precise refinement of the orbital information of the satellites. Therefore, if more accurate external DEM is used, more accurate InSAR DSM will be generated.

In reality, it is hard to find free-available accurate DSM like the LIDAR DSM or purchase it due to high price. And it can be weird because if an accurate DSM is available, there is no need to generate a coarser DSM like InSAR DSM from it. But, this research was done to investigate how different levels of external DEMs influence the accuracy of SAR interferometry processing and to achieve more accurate InSAR DSM as possible.

Usually, SRTM or ellipsoidal height information has been used without any difficulties to get them instead of very accurate DSM such as the LIDAR DSM. For other purposes of SAR interferometry processing such as a DTM generation or deformation mapping instead of 2.5D building reconstruction from accurate InSAR DSM, SRTM or ellipsoidal height information can be used because the RMSE is not much different between the cases of using the LIDAR DSM and using SRTM or ellipsoidal height information as shown in Table 4-2. Therefore, if the RMSE from the case of using SRTM or ellipsoidal height information is acceptable for user's requirements, the use of SRTM or ellipsoidal height information can be suitable.

5.4. Limitations and possible solutions

5.4.1. Generation of DSM in urban area by SAR interferometry processing

As explained in Section 5.1, there is uncertainty in height estimation by SAR interferometry processing due to error propagation from data characteristics, adopted methods and geometric constraints, etc.

1) Error from geometric constraints

Especially in urban areas, the geometric constraints (layover, shadowing and foreshortening effect) become more serious because of steep change in surface relief of tall buildings or any tall man-made
structures. It can give various errors, e.g., shift of building location, change of building size, exclusion of building (occlusions) and wrong height estimation, etc. and the errors is being propagated while SAR interferometry processing. Therefore, common SAR interferometry processing with SAR data may be not most suitable approach for DSM generation in dense urban areas.

Recently, InSAR techniques have been studied to solve the geometric constraints in dense urban area. For example, Thiele in [6] proposed a method for building reconstruction from multi-aspect InSAR Data. With multi-aspect InSAR data, occlusions, which occur in single aspect data, can be compensated with information from another aspect. So, 2.5D building can be modelled without help of optical image.

2) Phase unwrapping

As explained in Section 3.3.4, phase unwrapping is particularly difficult if there are large areas of low coherence and large local topographic height variations. In this research, high resolution TerraSAR-X data in urban area (Delft city) with many tall buildings was used.

Tall buildings with adjacent lower flat areas give large height difference between them, which will cause phase unwrapping errors. Also, tall buildings produce layover, shadowing effects in the neighbouring areas like parking areas or other close buildings, and then the effects give low coherence values (cause decorrelation) in the interferogram of those neighbouring areas. The decorrelation is the main challenge for phase unwrapping process since it gives large unwrapping error (2$\pi$-jumps).

For this particular case in the research, low coherent areas were not considered to be processed in the phase unwrapping process by applying the coherence threshold (0.4) and the interferogram was flattened by subtracting simulated buildings phase of the LIDAR DSM from the interferogram, so the phase variations in the interferogram became very small to make easier phase unwrapping. Due to the use of the LIDAR DSM during SAR interferometry, phase unwrapping process could reduce its error. In the other hand, the phase unwrapping process without high resolution external DSM like the LIDAR DSM in such kind of urban areas will be very difficult because of large phase variation between close pixels.

As mentioned in Section 5.3.2, fine resolution DSM is not commonly used to generate coarser resolution DSM from it, but in this research coarser InSAR DSM generation with the finer LIDAR DSM was experimented to see how the LIDAR DSM influence the accuracy of the interferogram output in terms of phase unwrapping and registration. But, if high resolution external DSM is not available for InSAR DSM generation in urban areas, finding alternatives can be a challenge.

3) Bias of the vertical accuracy assessment

In this research, the LIDAR DSM was mainly used to improve the accuracy of SAR interferometry processing to achieve more accurate InSAR DSM. Even if the height values of the InSAR DSM were not replaced by the corresponding height values of the LIDAR DSM during the processing, the height values of the LIDAR DSM were used in the processing to improve the accuracy of the processing. Therefore, the vertical accuracy evaluation of the InSAR nDSM with respect to the LIDAR nDSM is not most appropriate approach. For avoiding this bias in the assessment, the other reference height data was also acquired during the field visit; however the number of reference heights was not enough to assess the heights of the InSAR nDSM as described in Section 3.6.2.

5.4.2. Building footprint extraction by OOA

The rule sets created for extraction of building footprint in the study sites were not tested for the other areas of the Delft city, so they may be only suitable for the study sites. If study site is changed to other area, new rule set should be created for considering feature properties of objects in the area.
In order to solve this challenge, a rule set can be created by an ontological approach for building extraction everywhere. But, due to complexity of urban areas, it is impossible to have perfect rule set to classify building everywhere.

5.5. Discussion in relation to the objective and research questions

The main objective of this research is to develop a method for reconstruction of 2.5D building model from VHR SAR and VHR optical image using OOA technique. To achieve the main objective, three sub-objectives were considered. These sub-objectives are related to get accurate building height information from SAR interferometry, to improve the method of the building footprint extraction from the QuickBird image by OOA, with help of the height information (DSM) from SAR interferometry and to combine the extracted building footprint with the building height from InSAR DSM for 2.5D building model.

The research questions were proposed to obtain these objectives. The first two questions are about the method of building height estimation by SAR interferometry and assessment of the estimated building height. For building height estimation in this research, SAR interferometry processing was done to generated the DSM. In addition to the proposed question, different levels of the external DEMs were investigated during SAR interferometry processing to see how they influence to the final output and to find which DEM is suitable for this 2.5D building reconstruction application. For vertical accuracy of the estimated building height, the comparison with LIDAR DSM and field visit with the clinometer were done. The overall vertical accuracy was approximately 1m mean height difference and approximately 3~4m RMSE. It was not accurate enough for 2.5D building modelling according to the user’s requirement since centimeters vertical accuracy of the building height estimated by the proposed method was expected before the start of the research. As explained in Section 5.4.1, there was uncertainty so that the expected vertical accuracy was not achieved. However, if the average height of building is considered, the vertical accuracy is improved up to 1m difference as described Section 4.4.1. So, in case of the application which needs rough or average building height information, the adopted method in this research can be useful for such kind of case.

And the next main research question is about the extraction of building footprint using OOA. The results show that the building footprint was extracted successfully by using several feature properties with help of the InSAR DSM. The final question is about how 2.5D building model is reconstructed with the estimated building height and the detected building footprint. With the building footprint and height, the building was modelled as 2.5D easily.
6. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

This chapter deals with the conclusion of this research and recommendation for future study. The first section concludes the main results of the research and the following section recommends some issues for future study.

6.1. Conclusion

Based on the results and the discussion, the main conclusion of this research is drawn as follows:

- For the estimation of building height, the TerraSAR-X high resolution spotlight (HS) mode images were used; the HS mode is suitable for generating fine resolution DSM in comparison with other modes, e.g. stripmap mode, scanSAR mode.

- After the baseline estimation, the $2\pi$ ambiguity height, which is inversely proportional to the perpendicular baseline length, was 45.94m. In generation of InSAR DSM, the sensitivity to height change in a fringe determines the quality of height measurement. If SAR pair was available with the larger perpendicular baseline than 131.35m which was from the data used in this research, the sensitivity of height measurement would be better to achieve more accurate InSAR DSM.

- During SAR interferometry processing for DSM generation, different levels of external height information from the SRTM, the LIDAR DTM and the LIDAR DSM were used to improve the overall accuracy of InSAR processing, to investigate how they influence the accuracy, and to find the most suitable external height information for the research. From the result, the LIDAR DSM is the most suitable among those external DEM since it gave finer co-registration with better GCPs, easier phase unwrapping with less unwrapping error and more precise orbital refinement with less RMSE. Therefore, it can be determined that if more accurate DEM is used as an external DEM for SAR interferometry processing, more accurate InSAR DSM will be generated.

- Due to spectral complexity in urban areas, it is impossible to exactly classify objects with spectral characteristics. In OOA, various feature properties like spectral, spatial and contextual information were used to separate object classes, but in complex urban environment, the use of these feature properties only, cannot give accurate building extraction. In such cases, the InSAR DSM can be used to help building extraction by applying certain height threshold.

- Because of uncertainty in the InSAR DSM, it was difficult to detect building footprint in the DSM image. But, the extracted building footprint from the QuickBird image made it possible to extract the height information of the buildings from the InSAR DSM. So, the InSAR DSM and the building footprint from the QuickBird image were complementary to help each other to get finer result.

- From the height accuracy assessment, the vertical accuracy of the final output was defined. When the vertical accuracy is acceptable to user’s requirement in some applications, the method developed in the research can be proper approach for that.
In conclusion, building height from SAR interferometry can improve building footprint extraction from optical images and can be combined with the extracted footprints to generate a 2.5D building model.

6.2. **Recommendation**

The following recommendations are made for future study:

- SAR pair data with larger perpendicular baseline length than the baseline length used in this research can give more suitable interferogram output with more sensitivity to height measurement for DSM generation.

- The geometric constraints such as layover, shadowing and foreshortening effect are the main challenge to get accurate DSM of urban area in SAR interferometry processing. In this research, the optical image was helping to extract building height from the InSAR nDSM, but there was no way to reduce height error from the geometric constraints in the InSAR DSM. For solving this limitation, recently developed method with multi-aspect InSAR data can be used. Then, generation of DSM in dense urban area with small buildings may be possible.

- In OOA, the rule set generated for the extraction of building footprint can be validated in other areas and then improved to be applied also for other areas.
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APPENDIX I: SAR INTERFEROMETRY PROCESSING WITH SARSCAPE

The processing steps in SAR interferometry depend on the software being used. For this research, the SARscape software (in ENVI 4.7) developed by SARMAP (http://www.sarmap.ch), a Swiss company, was used. In each processing step, the outputs are generated on the basis of the specific format of the software.

a) Data import

Since the original data format of TerraSAR-X-1 is not readable in the software, the first step is to covert the original data format into the standard format of the software.

For TerraSAR-X-1, there are four kinds of data type:

- **HS**: Spotlight High Resolution (Slant Range, Ground Range or Geo-coded) Single and Dual Polarization
- **SC**: ScanSAR Geo-coded Single Polarization (not available as slant range product)
- **SL**: Spotlight (Slant Range, Ground Range or Geo-coded) Single and Dual Polarization
- **SM**: Stripmap (Slant Range, Ground Range or Geo-coded) Single and Dual Polarization

For the research, the TerraSAR-X data on HS mode with single polarization (VV) was used.

![Figure I-1: Data import](image)

b) Baseline estimation

This function enables to obtain information about the baseline values and other orbital parameters related to the input pair.

Following output parameters are provided in Figure I-2:

- Normal baseline: Perpendicular baseline (m) between master and slave orbit
- Critical baseline: Maximum theoretical baseline (m) suitable for Interferometric processing
- $2\pi$ ambiguity height: Height difference corresponding to an Interferometric fringe ($2\pi$ cycle)
- Range shift (pixel), which will be applied in range direction during the master-slave coarse co-registration
- Azimuth shift (pixel), which will be applied in azimuth direction during the master-slave coarse co-registration
- Doppler Centroid difference: Difference (Hz) between master and slave Doppler Centroid
(In case the Doppler Centroid difference is higher than the Pulse Repetition Frequency (value marked as "critical"), than the SAR pair is not suitable for Interferometric processing.)

- Critical: The Pulse Repetition Frequency

\[ \text{Phase} = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\text{Im}(I)}{\text{Re}(I)}\right) \]

Where Im(I) and Re(I) are respectively the imaginary and real parts of the interferogram.

When an external DEM is used in input, the spectral shift filter for co-registration is adapted to the local slop variations. The co-registration accuracy, and consequently the coherence and interferometric phase are improved with respect to the interferogram generation without DEM, especially when very high resolution SAR data like TerraSAR-X data are processed.

The outputs from this step are followed:

- \_int: Interferogram
- \_dint: Flattened interferogram
- \_sint: Synthetic phase
- \_srdem: Digital Elevation Model, in slant range geometry
- \_pwr: Co-registered Multi-looked Complex data

d) Interferogram Adaptive Filter and Coherence Generation

The filtering of the flattened interferogram enables to generate an output product with reduced phase noise. The interferometric coherence and the master intensity filtered image are also generated. Note that this filtering method generally shows the best performance when processing very high resolution SAR data like TerraSAR-X.

The outputs from this step are followed:

- \_fint: Filtered interferogram
- \_pwr_fil: Filtered intensity from master and slave combination
- \_cc: Estimated coherence
e) Phase unwrapping

Phase unwrapping is the process that resolves this $2\pi$ ambiguity. The output from this step is followed:
- \texttt{upha}: Unwrapped phase

f) Orbital refinement

This step is crucial for a correct transformation of the phase information into height values. The execution of this step is mandatory for DEM generation module. Following output parameters are provided on Figure I-3:

![Figure I-3: Example of orbital refinement, study site 1](image)

\textbf{g) Phase to Height Conversion and Geo-coding}

The absolute calibrated and unwrapped phase is re-combined with the synthetic phase and it is converted to height and geo-coded into a map projection. The outputs from this step are followed:
- \texttt{dem}: Digital Elevation Model
- \texttt{cc_geo}: Geo-coded coherence

The mentioned outputs in this Appendix I, are shown in the Appendix II.
APPENDIX II: OUTPUTS FROM SAR INTERFEROMETRY PROCESSING WITH SARSCAPE

- Study site 1
  a) Input

  ![0427_SLC](image1)
  ![0508_SLC](image2)
  ![LIDAR DSM (external)](image3)

  Figure II-1: Input data for SAR interferometry, study site 1

  b) Output

  ![0428_pwr](image4)
  ![0508_pwr](image5)
  ![int](image6)
  ![srdem](image7)
  ![sint](image8)
  ![dint](image9)
  ![fint](image10)
  ![cc](image11)
2.5D RECONSTRUCTION OF BUILDING FROM VERY HIGH RESOLUTION SAR AND OPTICAL DATA BY USING OOA TECHNIQUE

Figure II-2: Outputs from SAR interferometry, study site 1

- Study site 2
  a) Input

  Figure II-3: Input data for SAR interferometry, study site 2

b) Output

  Figure II-3: Input data for SAR interferometry, study site 2
• **Orbit based shift after co-registration**

After co-registration between master and slave images, orbit based shift was done.

- Pixel position in range direction (Range), in Single Look pixel units
- Pixel position in azimuth direction (Azimuth), in Single Look pixel units
- Shift measured in range direction (Dr), in Single Look pixel units
- Shift measured in azimuth direction (Da), in Single Look pixel units
- Calculated polynomial shift, to apply in range direction (Drfit), in Single Look pixel units
- Calculated polynomial shift, to apply in azimuth direction (Da_fit), in Single Look pixel units
### Study site 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Azimuth</th>
<th>Dr</th>
<th>Da</th>
<th>Drfit</th>
<th>Daft</th>
<th>Valid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>-999</td>
<td>-999</td>
<td>105.938</td>
<td>-53.9979</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>100.252</td>
<td>-54.1324</td>
<td>105.965</td>
<td>-53.9999</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>252</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>100.277</td>
<td>-54.1329</td>
<td>105.991</td>
<td>-54.0014</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>346</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>100.306</td>
<td>-54.1332</td>
<td>106.017</td>
<td>-54.0024</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>440</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>100.331</td>
<td>-54.1338</td>
<td>106.042</td>
<td>-54.003</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>534</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>-999</td>
<td>-999</td>
<td>106.067</td>
<td>-54.0032</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>100.226</td>
<td>-54.1295</td>
<td>105.938</td>
<td>-53.9975</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>100.252</td>
<td>-54.1285</td>
<td>105.965</td>
<td>-53.9995</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>252</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>100.283</td>
<td>-54.1333</td>
<td>105.991</td>
<td>-54.001</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>346</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>100.304</td>
<td>-54.1301</td>
<td>106.017</td>
<td>-54.002</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>440</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>106.33</td>
<td>-54.1364</td>
<td>106.042</td>
<td>-54.0026</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>534</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>-999</td>
<td>-999</td>
<td>106.067</td>
<td>-54.0028</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>100.226</td>
<td>-54.128</td>
<td>105.938</td>
<td>-53.9971</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>100.253</td>
<td>-54.1282</td>
<td>105.965</td>
<td>-53.9991</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>252</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>100.281</td>
<td>-54.1311</td>
<td>105.991</td>
<td>-54.0006</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>346</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>100.305</td>
<td>-54.1299</td>
<td>106.017</td>
<td>-54.0016</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>440</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>106.331</td>
<td>-54.1315</td>
<td>106.042</td>
<td>-54.0022</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>534</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>-999</td>
<td>-999</td>
<td>106.067</td>
<td>-54.0024</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>100.227</td>
<td>-54.1288</td>
<td>105.938</td>
<td>-53.9967</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>100.257</td>
<td>-54.1311</td>
<td>105.965</td>
<td>-53.9986</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>252</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>100.282</td>
<td>-54.1317</td>
<td>105.991</td>
<td>-54.0002</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>346</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>100.308</td>
<td>-54.1288</td>
<td>106.017</td>
<td>-54.0012</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>440</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>100.332</td>
<td>-54.136</td>
<td>106.042</td>
<td>-54.0018</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>534</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>-999</td>
<td>-999</td>
<td>106.067</td>
<td>-54.002</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>100.226</td>
<td>-54.1243</td>
<td>105.938</td>
<td>-53.9963</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>100.255</td>
<td>-54.1303</td>
<td>105.965</td>
<td>-53.9982</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>252</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>100.279</td>
<td>-54.1297</td>
<td>105.991</td>
<td>-53.9997</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>346</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>100.304</td>
<td>-54.1317</td>
<td>106.017</td>
<td>-54.0008</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>440</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>106.33</td>
<td>-54.1289</td>
<td>106.042</td>
<td>-54.0014</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>534</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>-999</td>
<td>-999</td>
<td>106.067</td>
<td>-54.0015</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>100.227</td>
<td>-54.1245</td>
<td>105.938</td>
<td>-53.9959</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>100.253</td>
<td>-54.131</td>
<td>105.965</td>
<td>-53.9978</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>252</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>100.279</td>
<td>-54.132</td>
<td>105.991</td>
<td>-53.9993</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>346</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>100.309</td>
<td>-54.1285</td>
<td>106.017</td>
<td>-54.0004</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>440</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>106.33</td>
<td>-54.1323</td>
<td>106.042</td>
<td>-54.001</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>534</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>-999</td>
<td>-999</td>
<td>106.067</td>
<td>-54.0011</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>818</td>
<td>100.227</td>
<td>-54.1273</td>
<td>105.938</td>
<td>-53.9955</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158</td>
<td>818</td>
<td>100.253</td>
<td>-54.124</td>
<td>105.965</td>
<td>-53.9974</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>252</td>
<td>818</td>
<td>100.279</td>
<td>-54.1324</td>
<td>105.991</td>
<td>-53.9989</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>346</td>
<td>818</td>
<td>100.305</td>
<td>-54.129</td>
<td>106.017</td>
<td>-54</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>440</td>
<td>818</td>
<td>106.331</td>
<td>-54.1315</td>
<td>106.042</td>
<td>-54.0006</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>534</td>
<td>818</td>
<td>-999</td>
<td>-999</td>
<td>106.067</td>
<td>-54.0007</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>933</td>
<td>100.227</td>
<td>-54.1249</td>
<td>105.938</td>
<td>-53.9951</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158</td>
<td>933</td>
<td>100.253</td>
<td>-54.1263</td>
<td>105.965</td>
<td>-53.997</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>252</td>
<td>933</td>
<td>100.279</td>
<td>-54.1312</td>
<td>105.991</td>
<td>-53.9985</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>346</td>
<td>933</td>
<td>100.305</td>
<td>-54.1324</td>
<td>106.017</td>
<td>-53.9996</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>440</td>
<td>933</td>
<td>100.331</td>
<td>-54.1311</td>
<td>106.042</td>
<td>-54.0002</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>534</td>
<td>933</td>
<td>-999</td>
<td>-999</td>
<td>106.067</td>
<td>-54.0003</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>1048</td>
<td>100.227</td>
<td>-54.1278</td>
<td>105.938</td>
<td>-53.9947</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158</td>
<td>1048</td>
<td>100.253</td>
<td>-54.1239</td>
<td>105.965</td>
<td>-53.9966</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>252</td>
<td>1048</td>
<td>100.279</td>
<td>-54.1316</td>
<td>105.991</td>
<td>-53.9981</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>346</td>
<td>1048</td>
<td>100.305</td>
<td>-54.1295</td>
<td>106.017</td>
<td>-53.9992</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>440</td>
<td>1048</td>
<td>106.331</td>
<td>-54.1309</td>
<td>106.042</td>
<td>-53.9998</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>534</td>
<td>1048</td>
<td>-999</td>
<td>-999</td>
<td>106.067</td>
<td>-53.9999</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Study site 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Azimuth</th>
<th>Dr</th>
<th>Da</th>
<th>Drfit</th>
<th>Daft</th>
<th>Valid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>106.582</td>
<td>-54.2568</td>
<td>106.938</td>
<td>-53.9969</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>167</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>106.61</td>
<td>-54.255</td>
<td>106.967</td>
<td>-53.9994</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>270</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>106.638</td>
<td>-54.2583</td>
<td>106.995</td>
<td>-54.0011</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>373</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>106.668</td>
<td>-54.2587</td>
<td>107.023</td>
<td>-54.002</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>476</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>106.693</td>
<td>-54.2637</td>
<td>107.051</td>
<td>-54.0022</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>579</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>-9999</td>
<td>-9999</td>
<td>107.077</td>
<td>-54.0015</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>106.584</td>
<td>-54.2546</td>
<td>106.938</td>
<td>-53.9966</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>167</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>106.612</td>
<td>-54.2623</td>
<td>106.967</td>
<td>-53.9991</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>270</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>106.641</td>
<td>-54.2535</td>
<td>106.995</td>
<td>-54.0008</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>373</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>106.666</td>
<td>-54.258</td>
<td>107.023</td>
<td>-54.0017</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>476</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>106.695</td>
<td>-54.2565</td>
<td>107.051</td>
<td>-54.0019</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>579</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>-9999</td>
<td>-9999</td>
<td>107.078</td>
<td>-54.0014</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>106.582</td>
<td>-54.2457</td>
<td>106.938</td>
<td>-53.9963</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>167</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>106.609</td>
<td>-54.2535</td>
<td>106.967</td>
<td>-53.9988</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>270</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>106.639</td>
<td>-54.2581</td>
<td>106.996</td>
<td>-54.0005</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>373</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>106.671</td>
<td>-54.257</td>
<td>107.023</td>
<td>-54.0014</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>476</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>106.697</td>
<td>-54.2556</td>
<td>107.051</td>
<td>-54.0016</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>579</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>-9999</td>
<td>-9999</td>
<td>107.078</td>
<td>-54.0011</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>106.582</td>
<td>-54.2512</td>
<td>106.938</td>
<td>-53.996</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>167</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>106.61</td>
<td>-54.2496</td>
<td>106.967</td>
<td>-53.9983</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>270</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>106.639</td>
<td>-54.2573</td>
<td>106.996</td>
<td>-54.0002</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>373</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>106.671</td>
<td>-54.253</td>
<td>107.024</td>
<td>-54.0011</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>476</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>106.695</td>
<td>-54.2564</td>
<td>107.051</td>
<td>-54.0013</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>579</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>-9999</td>
<td>-9999</td>
<td>107.078</td>
<td>-54.0008</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>106.582</td>
<td>-54.2532</td>
<td>106.938</td>
<td>-53.9957</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>167</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>106.611</td>
<td>-54.2505</td>
<td>106.967</td>
<td>-53.9982</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>270</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>106.64</td>
<td>-54.2563</td>
<td>106.996</td>
<td>-53.9999</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>373</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>106.666</td>
<td>-54.2581</td>
<td>107.024</td>
<td>-54.0008</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>476</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>106.695</td>
<td>-54.2561</td>
<td>107.051</td>
<td>-54.001</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>579</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>-9999</td>
<td>-9999</td>
<td>107.078</td>
<td>-54.0005</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>608</td>
<td>106.582</td>
<td>-54.2528</td>
<td>106.938</td>
<td>-53.9954</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>167</td>
<td>608</td>
<td>106.615</td>
<td>-54.254</td>
<td>106.967</td>
<td>-53.9979</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>270</td>
<td>608</td>
<td>106.638</td>
<td>-54.2543</td>
<td>106.996</td>
<td>-53.9996</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>373</td>
<td>608</td>
<td>106.669</td>
<td>-54.2555</td>
<td>107.024</td>
<td>-54.0005</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>476</td>
<td>608</td>
<td>106.694</td>
<td>-54.2546</td>
<td>107.051</td>
<td>-54.0007</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>579</td>
<td>608</td>
<td>-9999</td>
<td>-9999</td>
<td>107.078</td>
<td>-54.0002</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>704</td>
<td>106.583</td>
<td>-54.2529</td>
<td>106.939</td>
<td>-53.9951</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>167</td>
<td>704</td>
<td>106.61</td>
<td>-54.2564</td>
<td>106.968</td>
<td>-53.9976</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>270</td>
<td>704</td>
<td>106.643</td>
<td>-54.2543</td>
<td>106.996</td>
<td>-53.9993</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>373</td>
<td>704</td>
<td>106.669</td>
<td>-54.2576</td>
<td>107.024</td>
<td>-54.0002</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>476</td>
<td>704</td>
<td>-9999</td>
<td>-9999</td>
<td>107.052</td>
<td>-54.0004</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>579</td>
<td>704</td>
<td>-9999</td>
<td>-9999</td>
<td>107.078</td>
<td>-53.9999</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>106.583</td>
<td>-54.2527</td>
<td>106.939</td>
<td>-53.9948</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>167</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>106.616</td>
<td>-54.256</td>
<td>106.968</td>
<td>-53.9973</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>270</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>106.64</td>
<td>-54.2563</td>
<td>106.996</td>
<td>-53.999</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>373</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>106.67</td>
<td>-54.2525</td>
<td>107.024</td>
<td>-53.999</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>476</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>-9999</td>
<td>-9999</td>
<td>107.052</td>
<td>-54.0001</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>579</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>-9999</td>
<td>-9999</td>
<td>107.079</td>
<td>-53.9996</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>896</td>
<td>106.583</td>
<td>-54.2462</td>
<td>106.939</td>
<td>-53.9945</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>167</td>
<td>896</td>
<td>106.611</td>
<td>-54.2559</td>
<td>106.968</td>
<td>-53.997</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>270</td>
<td>896</td>
<td>106.641</td>
<td>-54.256</td>
<td>106.997</td>
<td>-53.9987</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>373</td>
<td>896</td>
<td>106.667</td>
<td>-54.2593</td>
<td>107.024</td>
<td>-53.9996</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>476</td>
<td>896</td>
<td>-9999</td>
<td>-9999</td>
<td>107.052</td>
<td>-53.9998</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>579</td>
<td>896</td>
<td>-9999</td>
<td>-9999</td>
<td>107.079</td>
<td>-53.9993</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Selection of GCPs for orbital refinement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study site 1</th>
<th>Study site 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a) GCP A</td>
<td>(d) GCP D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) GCP B</td>
<td>(e) GCP E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) GCP C</td>
<td>(f) GCP F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure II-5: Selection of 6 different sets of GCPs (15pts)
APPENDIX III: METADATA OF TERRASAR-X IMAGES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Image April 27</th>
<th>Image May 8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Image ID</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Orbit direction</strong></td>
<td>Descending</td>
<td>Descending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acquisition time</strong></td>
<td>2008-04-27-05:59:44</td>
<td>2008-05-08-05:59:45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Relative orbit number</strong></td>
<td>139</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sensor mode</strong></td>
<td>High resolution Spotlight mode</td>
<td>High resolution Spotlight mode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Projection</strong></td>
<td>Slant Range</td>
<td>Slant Range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of pixels per line</strong></td>
<td>8064</td>
<td>8064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of lines per image</strong></td>
<td>6189</td>
<td>6217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Latitude Northing</strong></td>
<td>51.9985775300488</td>
<td>51.9977972797905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Longitude Easting</strong></td>
<td>4.3145597614697</td>
<td>4.31669444386181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Incidence angle</strong></td>
<td>37.595089766971</td>
<td>37.5940926001701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Center Scene Azimuth LOS</strong></td>
<td>-84.312288</td>
<td>-84.312435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Center Scene Incidence angle LOS</strong></td>
<td>37.591743</td>
<td>37.590746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Center Scene Longitude</strong></td>
<td>51.998564</td>
<td>51.997784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Center Scene Latitude</strong></td>
<td>4.314765</td>
<td>4.316899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Range resolution</strong></td>
<td>1.92910161199656</td>
<td>1.92914656725211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Azimuth resolution</strong></td>
<td>1.1000002384186</td>
<td>1.1000002384186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bits per sample</strong></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data format</strong></td>
<td>Complex SAR (COSAR)</td>
<td>Complex SAR (COSAR)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX III: PHOTOGRAPHS OF STUDY SITE

During the field visit to measure the roof boundary height of the buildings, the photographs were taken to get an insight how the roofs look like.

Figure III-1: Building A, study site 1

Figure III-2: Building C, study site 2
2.5D RECONSTRUCTION OF BUILDING FROM VERY HIGH RESOLUTION SAR AND OPTICAL DATA BY USING OOA TECHNIQUE

Figure III-3: Building D, study site 2